


# The Rise of the Neocons



Who are they? Where Did they Come  
From? How are They now in Control?

By Martin Armstrong  
March 2023





Copyright – ALL RIGHTS STRICTLY RESERVED GLOBALLY  
All publications of the publisher are COPYRIGHTED and REGISTERED by the license of Martin Armstrong

*Copyright all rights reserved Worldwide*

---

## **Armstrong Economics**

**300 Delaware Ave #210  
Wilmington, DE 198803  
1-302-448-8080**

---

The material, concepts, research and graphic illustrations appearing within this publication are the EXCLUSIVE PROPERTY of Martin Armstrong and AE Global Solutions, Inc.

NO REPRODUCTION is permitted without the express WRITTEN consent of the publisher. AE Global Solutions, Inc. might grant permission to utilize in part the research published in its reports for recognized educational purposes of qualified universities or similar institutions when requests are made prior to utilization. Materials can be supplied to universities and similar institutions in most cases without charge. Other individuals, corporations, institutional or brokers within the financial community are strictly prohibited from reproducing in part or in whole any published materials of AE Global Solutions, Inc., its affiliates, associates or joint venture partners. Anyone wishing to apply for such permission must do so in writing for each and every such use.

AE Global Solutions, Inc and Martin Armstrong do not waive any of its rights under international copyright law in regard to its research, analysis or opinions. Anyone who violates the copyright of AE Global Solutions, Inc and Martin Armstrong shall be prosecuted to the full extent of the law.

# DISCLAIMER

The information contained in this report is NOT intended for speculation on any financial market referred to within this report. AE Global Solutions, Inc. makes no such warranty regarding its opinions or forecasts in reference to the markets or economies discussed in this report. Anyone seeking consultation on economic future trends in a personal nature must do so under written contract.

This is neither a solicitation nor an offer to Buy or Sell any cash or derivative (such as futures, options, swaps, etc.) financial instrument on any of the described underlying markets. No representation is being made that any financial result will or is likely to achieve profits or losses similar to those discussed. The past performance of any trading system or methodology discussed here is not necessarily indicative of future results.

Futures, Options, and Currencies trading all have large potential rewards, but also large potential risk. You must be aware of the risks and be willing to accept them in order to invest in these complex markets. Don't trade with money you can't afford to lose and NEVER trade anything blindly. You must strive to understand the markets and to act upon your conviction when well researched.

Indeed, events can materialize rapidly and thus past performance of any trading system or methodology is not necessarily indicative of future results particularly when you understand we are going through an economic evolution process and that includes the rise and fall of various governments globally on an economic basis.

CFTC Rule 4.41 – Any simulated or hypothetical performance results have certain inherent limitations. While prices may appear within a given trading range, there is no guarantee that there will be enough liquidity (volume) to ensure that such trades could be actually executed. Hypothetical results thus can differ greatly from actual performance records, and do not represent actual trading since such trades have not actually been executed, these results may have under- or over-compensated for the impact, if any, of certain market factors, such as lack of liquidity. Simulated or hypothetical trading programs in general are also subject to the fact that they are designed with the benefit of hindsight and back testing. Such representations in theory could be altered by Acts of God or Sovereign Debt Defaults.

It should not be assumed that the methods, techniques, or indicators presented in this publication will be profitable or that they will not result in losses since this cannot be a full representation of all considerations and the evolution of economic and market development. Past results of any individual or trading strategy published are not indicative of future returns since all things cannot be considered for discussion purposes. In addition, the indicators, strategies, columns, articles and discussions (collectively, the "Information") are provided for informational and educational purposes only and should not be construed as investment advice or a solicitation for money to manage since money management is not conducted. Therefore, by no means is this publication to be construed as a solicitation of any order to buy or sell. Accordingly, you should not rely solely on the Information in making any investment. Rather, you should use the Information only as a starting point for doing additional independent research in order to allow you to form your own opinion regarding investments. You should always check with your licensed financial advisor and tax advisor to determine the suitability of any such investment.

Copyright 2017 AE Global Solutions, Inc. and Martin A. Armstrong All Rights Reserved. Protected by copyright laws of the United States and international treaties.

**This report may NOT be forwarded to any other party and remains the exclusive property of AE Global Solutions, Inc. And Martin Armstrong is merely leased to the recipient for educational purposes.**



## Contents

The Neocons.....	2
Russia & German Gas Relationship 51.6 Years?.....	18
The Berlin Crisis, 1958–1961.....	26
US Covert Policy to End European & Russian Energy Flows.....	40
Rising Geopolitical tension with Ukraine.....	41
Reagan’s Economic War Against the USSR.....	59
RussiaGate & Neocons .....	83
Sabotage of Nord Stream.....	96
Conclusion.....	121



# The Neocons



**T**he Neocons have never been just Republicans. They are on both sides of the aisle. John McCain (1936–2018) and Hillary Clinton were best of friends because they both shared the same hatred of Russia. It was Hillary's fake Steel Dossier that launched RussiaGate and it was John McCain who handed it to the FBI. He wrote in his memoirs, "I would do it again." As we will explore, it was also John McCain who brought climate change to Capitol Hill to undermine the Russian economy since 50% of its GDP was fossil fuels.

**THE HILL**

News Policy Business Opinion Events

## McCain defends giving dossier to Comey: 'I would do it again'

BY BRETT SAMUELS - 05/09/18 6:05 PM ET



Sen. John McCain (R-Ariz.) wrote in his upcoming memoir that he does not regret alerting the FBI about the so-called Steele dossier, which details President Trump's alleged ties to Russia.

What has been well hidden is that Hillary is a closet Neocon. When most Democrats voted against the Iraq invasion, Hillary also voted to support the invasion. Hillary blamed Putin for her loss to Trump because the declassified documents from the Clinton Administration established that the Clintons supported the attempt by the bankers to overthrow Yeltsin in the 2000 Russian election. It was that US interference in the Russian election that led to Yeltsin turning to Putin to lead Russia. His

## The Neocons

departing words to Putin – “Take care of Russia.”

The term “Neocon” actually came from inside the Democratic Party – not the Republicans. They were the liberal intellectuals of the 1960s and 1970s. Their goal was to reform the Democratic Party. They were calling themselves neo-liberals who believed, like Karl Marx, that the government had the power to shape the future.

The mainstream Democratic leftists called them neo-conservatives. Thus, first Neocons embraced the name. It was Irving Kristol (1920–2009) who became the godfather of the movement, who defined the neoconservative as “a liberal who has been mugged by reality.”



Irving Kristol  
(1920–2009)  
American journalist who was dubbed the  
“Godfather of Neoconservatism”

His son, William “Bill” Kristol is an American Neocon who raised and spent millions to support Joe Biden and defeat Trump all because Trump was anti-war and regime change. Kristol masqueraded as a Republican, but had no problem opposing Trump because the Neocon agenda was far more important – regime change.

The screenshot shows a CNBC news article. The headline is "Anti-Trump group led by longtime GOP strategist Bill Kristol raised, spent millions during 2020 campaign". The author is Brian Schwartz. The article includes a "KEY POINTS" section with three bullet points: 1. A group led by longtime GOP strategist Bill Kristol and a group of fellow so-called Never Trump Republicans raised more than \$35 million during the 2020 campaign. 2. The nonprofit organization, Defending Democracy Together, spent millions on projects attacking then-President Trump during the same period. 3. Its biggest single contribution, \$6 million, went toward one of the group's projects, Republican Voters Against Trump, according to a 990 tax disclosure first reviewed by CNBC. There is also a "TRENDING NOW" section with three items: 1. A child psychologist shares 3 signs you've raised a 'highly spoiled' kid—and how parents can 'undo' it. 2. 69-year-old pays \$4,000/month to live in an alpine: 'All I have to do is taxi out and take off'. 3. The most expensive home in the Caribbean just listed for \$200 million – take a look inside.


The Neocons will cross-political parties for their sole motivation is war to achieve their view of the world not much different from the Communists who tried to spread their philosophy to consume the world. They will never accept China or Russia and push always for war to achieve their goal of regime change and impose their idea of a Democracy, which is really a dictatorship masquerading as a Republic.



## The Neocons



**Midge Decter**  
(1927–2022)



**Norman Podhoretz**  
(born 1930)



**Nathan Glazer**  
(1923–2019)

Therefore, contrary to common belief that the Neocons emerged as right-wing Republicans, they came from within the Democrats. They were Marxists at heart insofar as they sought control of society. However, the failure of Marxist ideology to produce a utopian state led to their evolution thanks to Stalin. The original Neocons began during the 1930s and 1940s as Marxists. This was a very tight-knit circle of what people called the Jewish Intellectuals made up of Irving Kristol, Midge Decter (1927–2022), Norman Podhoretz (born 1930), and Nathan Glazer (1923–2019). It was Podhoretz who called himself a “paleo-neoconservative”.



Where Lenin believed that the new USSR would be similar to the United States with member states retaining their sovereignty, Joseph Stalin wanted a full dictatorial central control. Stalin’s brutal dictatorship led to their disillusionment and they abandoned their hope for communism. From then on, they perceived the Soviet Union as an aggressive enemy of liberal democracies. It was this issue that forced them to split from the Democratic Party supporting Marxism that they then saw was alarmingly indifferent to the Soviet threat – centralized control.

## The Neocons

As their alienation from the Democrats grew, they also moved to the right on domestic issues. Inspired by philosophers such as University of Chicago professor Leo Strauss (1899–1973), who believed that politics and philosophy were indeed intertwined. Strauss had actually distinguished “scholars” from “great thinkers.” He saw himself as a scholar explaining that most philosophers were really scholars, with the characterization of being rather cautious and methodical. Great thinkers, he argued, addressed major problems whereas scholars addressed such issues only indirectly.



Leo Strauss  
(1899 – 1973)

**MIT  
Technology  
Review**    Featured   Topics   Newsletters   Events   Podcasts

# Sociogenomics is opening a new door to eugenics

New ways of using your genetic data could bolster scientific racism and encourage discrimination.

By Nathaniel Comfort    October 23, 2018


Martin Heidegger (1889–1976) was a German philosopher who is best known for contributions to existentialism, a form of philosophical inquiry that explores the issue of human existence. Heidegger was considered by some to be among the most important and influential philosophers of the 20th century. However, he was, in turn, widely criticized for supporting the Nazi Party. Still, the Neocons argued for a greater role for morality in the public realm.

What we must understand is that the Neocons have become lost in their own reasoning. They do not address statements of fact that are derived only from investigation based on physical observation, which we can call the true empirical method of advancing knowledge.

This stands in contrast to statements of opinion. This is where we find that there is an inherent bias that runs through the thinking process whereby everything

## The Neocons

becomes distorted. The conclusions are formed by shifting through facts that are cherry-picked based solely upon a predetermined outcome.



Adam Smith (1723-1790)

*"It is the highest impertinence of kings and ministers to pretend to watch over the economy of private people and to restrain their expense, either by sumptuary laws, or by prohibiting the importation of foreign luxuries. They are themselves always, and without exception, the greatest spend thrifts in the society. Let them look well after their own expense, and they may safely trust private people with theirs. If their own extravagance does not ruin the state, that of their subjects never will."*

Adam Smith Wealth of Nations 1776, Book II, Chapter III,  
Of the Accumulation of Capital, or of Productive and Unproductive Labour


What distinguished these Neocons from the Republican conservatives was the fact that they remained attached to a basic tenet of Marxism and big government whereby the federal government could be a force for good. The conservatives that followed Adam Smith (1723–1790), rejected this idea that governments could manage the economy and society. Their own self-interests would always dominate over and above the interests of the people.

Consequently, the Neocons supported the civil rights movement and that it could also play a role in lifting blacks out of poverty. Irving Kristol explained:


*"A welfare state, properly conceived, can be an integral part of a conservative society."*

Consequently, the Neocons have formed effectively a third party embracing big government as a means to an end. They believed that government should promote traditional families, hard work, enterprise, and faith as solutions to social ills. Even the policies of Klaus Schwab and his World Economic Forum support that Neocon vision with strong central government control, but that the people are too ignorant to comprehend what is best for their own future.

### Reagan's Assistant Secretaries of State



Paul Wolfowitz  
(born 1943)



Elliot Abrams  
(born 1948)  
*(Podhoretz's son-in-law)*




Jeane Kirkpatrick  
(1926–2006)  
*16th US Ambassador to UN  
(February 4, 1981 – April 1, 1985)*

The Neocons came into their glory when Ronald Reagan was elected President. They believed they would at last control the direction of the nation. Reagan was willing to use American power around the world and at first saw the Soviet Union as the enemy. However, Reagan's domestic policies did not blend with the Neocons. Reagan himself was not a Neocon and had a vision of peace rather than perpetual confrontation. The Neocons managed to infiltrate his Administration with installing many of his advisors such as U.N. Ambassador Jeane Kirkpatrick


(1926–2006), Assistant Secretary of Defense Richard Perle (born 1941), and Assistant Secretaries of State Paul Wolfowitz (born 1943) and Elliot Abrams (born 1948) who was Podhoretz's son-in-law.

The neocons' influence could not prevent Reagan from reaching out to the USSR following Gorbachev's May 8<sup>th</sup>, 1985 speech. Regan was open-minded and could see the shift in the tone of Gorbachev whereas the Neocons advised against any meeting.



Richard Norman Perle  
(born 1941)  
*1st Ass. Sec. of Defense for Global Strategic Affairs  
In office August 5, 1981 – May 8, 1987*

## The Neocons




George Herbert Walker Bush  
(1924–2018)  
41st President of the United States  
In office January 20, 1989 – January 20, 1993

When President George H.W. Bush (1924–2018) became president from 1989 to 1993, he was most certainly against the Neocons' grand dreams of redesigning the world. However, his Vice President James D. Quayle (born 1947) took on the Neocon William "Bill" Kristol as his Chief of Staff when he was in the White House between 1989 and 1993.

It was Kristol who supported the Iraq War and his argument had been to create regime change in the Middle East overthrowing all the dictators and then the region would embrace democracy. That wild idea of redesigning the world was the hallmark of the Neocons. They were unable to move the President to adopt their world policies.

As Kristol and Kaplan claimed that invading Iraq would decide America's role in the world. The authors argued that American foreign policy for the 21st century would be forged in the crucible of the response to Saddam. The war over Iraq would be the beginning of a new era in American foreign policy. That was the essence of the Neocons – to dominate the world.



While many assumed that the Neocons were out of power during Bush Sr. and the Clinton Administrations, that was not the case. The Neocons were hard at work to convert the nation to their philosophy. Bill Kristol managed to convince Rupert Murdoch to fund the Weekly Standard. As the New Yorker wrote: *"For twenty-three years, it was the most influential, and often the most interesting, publication of the American right, championing a less dreary and more adventurous conservatism, one that insisted that Washington was the center of human events."*

In 2009, Murdoch sold the *Weekly Standard* to Philip Anschutz, a Republican billionaire who made his fortune in oil and railroads. The match was not made in heaven. What killed Bill Kristol's *Weekly Standard* as it collapsed after 23 years was his own Neocon philosophy that attacked Trump because he was against war and did not share Kristol's grand redesign of the world order.



What finally surfaced was simply the great divide from economic conservatism that was represented by Trump and the Neocons who wanted to rule the world and did not care so much about the wellbeing of the domestic economy. Trump alienated the Neocons who were never really economic conservatives. Back in 2015, Donald Trump publicly denounced Bill Kristol as “a loser,” “a dummy” and a purveyor of mass death with his Neocon agenda. The Neocon intellectuals were the most heavily represented element in the ranks of anti-Trump Republicans. They sooner supported Hillary and Biden who would wage wars that they directed.

The Neocons were never economic conservatives nor were they Adam Smith free marketers. Trump refused to court the support of the Neocons and even went as far to fire John Bolton who never saw a war he did not support. Trump opposed their central goal of regime change operations such as in Iraq and Libya that they saw as the model to impose upon the world – including Russia and China.

The Atlantic ran a piece on Bill Kristol in 2011 on how his track record of political forecasting they entitled: Bill Kristol: A Timeline of Faulty Predictions<sup>1</sup>. However, what most seemed to miss was that the Neocon hiding in the closet was Hillary Clinton. Indeed, Hillary courted the Neocons for their support. Hillary exploited her Neocon beliefs behind closed doors and gained the coalition of support against Trump.

---

<sup>1</sup> <https://www.theatlantic.com/politics/archive/2011/06/giuliani-bill-kristol-and-bad-predictions-timeline/351586/>

## Neocon Couple



Victoria Jane Nuland  
(born 1961)



Robert Kagan  
(born 1958)

Under Secretary of State for Political Affairs  
*Incumbent Assumed office under Biden May 3, 2021*  
Assistant Secretary of State for European and Eurasian Affairs  
*In office September 18, 2013 – January 20, 2017*  
Spokesperson for the United States Department of State  
*In office May 31, 2011 – April 5, 2013*  
United States Ambassador to NATO  
*In office June 20, 2005 – May 2, 2008*

What began to catch the eye of Democrats was when the leading Neocon Robert Kagan organized a fundraiser for Clinton bringing in support even from Republican businesswoman Meg Whitman (born 1956) who had been the Republican candidate for the governor in California. Showing how these Neocons switch sides, Whitman was then made Ambassador to Kenya by President Biden in 2021.

Robert Kagan (born 1958) may not be the household name but he is an American Neocon. His criticism of U.S. foreign policy is persistent but he is also a leading advocate of liberal interventionism, which is a foreign policy doctrine arguing that that liberal international organizations can intervene in other states in order to pursue liberal objectives that includes regime change and military intervention. This truly defines a Neocon.

His wife, Victoria Nuland is far better known. It was her recording that was leaked during the 2014 Ukrainian situation where she said: "Fuck the EU."



Margaret Cushing Whitman  
(born 1956)



The Neocons came out in force to support Hillary. Only those who had access behind the curtain knew that Clinton's views specifically on foreign policy have been regarded as suspect by many Democrats for a long time now, for she was dyed in wool a Neocon.

The Benghazi attack claimed the lives of four Americans, including Ambassador Chris Stevens. Hillary was Secretary of State at the time, but what the press never reported was why she was really being

questioned with respect to her culpability. The left painted it as nothing more than political warfare. The shocking quote from Hillary ***"What difference does it make?"*** during her testimony before the 2013 Senate Foreign Relations Committee when Republican senator Ron Johnson pressed Hillary to answer a question about the cause of the attack.

Behind the curtain, it is alleged that Stevens was actually set up by the CIA. Some say in retaliation for another CIA agent who he caused to go to prison where he died. But the deeper allegations have widespread circumstances attached that then went directly to Syria and a Neocon covert action for regime change. Stevens was alleged to be involved in arms dealing selling them to the Libyan rebels to overthrow the government. Russia actually warned the USA that it should not overthrow Qaddafi. However, the Neocon agenda in the Middle East, was to overthrow dictators ignoring the fact that they have kept the religious zealots in check. Removing them has opened the door to worldwide terrorism.

Even when we look closely at the debate way back in 2002 over whether to grant George W. Bush authorization for the use of military force in Iraq. Most Democratic members of Congress voted **NO**. Interestingly, Nancy Pelosi, then the No. 2 House Democrat, voted in favor of the invasion, as did the major contenders for the 2004 Democratic presidential nomination. Hillary also voted to support the invasion of Iraq. When looking closely at her voting record, we see the closet Neocon.



## The Neocons

The Inspector General's report on the FBI's investigation into Donald Trump's 2016 campaign also proved John McCain was feeding info to FBI agent James Comey to try to prevent Trump from winning the White House. John McCain, the leader of the Neocons advocating war with Russia, provided the FBI the Christopher Steele dossier which was funded by Hillary and was totally fiction. It was that report which McCain received from Hillary that was then used before the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act (FISA) court by the FBI to obtain the wiretap on former Donald Trump campaign official Carter Page. This was even AFTER the Department of Justice found no probable cause for the wiretap.

The Inspector General's report had confirmed indeed that Senator John McCain provided the cover for Hillary since it was a Republican who handed FBI Director James Comey the Steele dossier even after the FBI had terminated the former British intelligence officer as a source. Russian-born lobbyist Rinat Akhmetshin worked closely with the research firm Fusion GPS, which commissioned the infamous Steele Dossier on behalf of the Clinton campaign and DNC to smear Trump and begin the entire Russia-Gate affair. Interestingly, Akhmetshin also attended the infamous Trump Tower meeting.

John McCain was also the sponsor of the **Magnitsky Act**, which was to punish Russians for claiming that Magnitsky was killed in a Russian prison. But it was the Clintons who gave the wink and nod for the bankers to try to take over Russia by blackmailing Yeltsin for the 2000 election. McCain led the charge among Republicans to support Chuck Schumer's resolution to deny any investigation into the Magnitsky affair. The Senate vote was a resounding 98-0 to deny the questioning of **ANY** Americans by Russia.

It was McCain who was leading the attack on Russia for sanctions and trying to stop the pipeline into Europe from the outset. McCain was also setting up his own version of the Clinton Foundation.



## The Neocons

When George Walker Bush (born 1946) became the 43rd president of the United States from 2001 to 2009, he adopted the Neocons' domestic agenda. It was renamed "***compassionate conservatism***." Nonetheless, they were unable to alter his foreign policy objectives. Bush Jr. spoke against the Neocon's "nation building" agenda. In contrast, the Neocons were constantly pushing for their version of democracy throughout the world and to achieve that they asserted a right to impose regime change in places such as Iraq. They regarded that his father refused to remove Saddam Hussein after the first Gulf War. They insisted that the United States had a right to adopt an aggressive new role in the Middle East to oppose Islamic fanaticism. War to them would always make the world safer which was ironically the claims of both Hitler and Napoleon – one government in Europe would end war.



The **Project for the New American Century** (PNAC) was a Neocon think tank based in Washington, that was focused on US foreign policy to shape it to their dreams. It was established in 1997 by none other than William Kristol and Robert Kagan. It was in the aftermath of the September 11<sup>th</sup> attack on the World Trade Center that the Neocons' dreams suddenly became the new agenda.

Bush Jr. adopted much of the Neocon foreign policy agenda as his own becoming known as the "***Bush doctrine***." Suddenly, Bush claimed the Neocon position that the United States had the right to overthrow other nations to suit the American interests of the Neocons.

The doctrine was first proposed by the Neocon Paul Wolfowitz in 1992 who had been the Assistant Secretary of State under Regan. Neocons believed that overthrowing Saddam Hussein in Iraq was a major international statement to the entire world that the United States was in charge. All governments throughout the world who harbored Islamic terrorists or were against the policies of the United States would think twice and yield to the new American power.

## The Neocons

That policy had the exact opposite impact inside Russia. There were those who had been silently advocating that Russia should join the world economy for the benefit of the people. The Russian Neocons pointed to the invasion of Iraq to demonstrate that the United State was still an imperial power not to be trusted. Playing into those very concerns inside Russia was the fact that what shocked the world was that the Neocons advocated overthrowing even the King of Saudi Arabia. Bill Kristol actually said:

*"We're going to get criticized for being an imperial power anyway, so you might as well make sure that the good guys win."*



President George Bush, Jr. in the center  
Vice President Dick Cheney (far right) & Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld (left)

While the Neocons got their invasion of Iraq for regime change, Henry Kissinger described Bush's Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld as *"the most ruthless man"* he knew. The Atlantic named Rumsfeld *"the worst secretary of defense in American history"* who *"lacked the wisdom to change his mind."* Bill Kristol was also critical of Rumsfeld, stating he *"breezily dodged responsibility"* for planning mistakes made in the Iraq War.

Nonetheless, it was Dick Cheney who usurped the Bush Administration foreign policy. Cheney has been called the most powerful vice president in American history. He advocated invading Iraq, claimed falsely that Saddam Hussein had weapons of mass destruction program and partnered with Al-Qaeda of which all proved to be false. He pressured the intelligence community to provide false reports to justify the invasion. The Neocons had their dreams come true with Cheney. His daughter was vehemently against Trump who was anti-Neocon's agenda.

## The Neocons

The economic conservatives began to realize that the Neocons hijacked the Bush Administration's American foreign policy. There was a real conflict behind the curtain within the Republican Party. The Neocons were closely in line with the Rockefeller Republicans. Pat Buchanan, who was the Press Secretary under Ronald Regan, described the Neocons as a "cabal" acting in collusion with Israel.

Indeed, the Neocons were broadly defined as having three main features:

1. A commitment to the security interests of Israel often repackaged as being American interest
2. The active belief that interventionist foreign policy would push "liberal democracy" around the world as if it were a religion
3. Only a very loose commitment to free markets advocating more of a stakeholder version of economics

This stood in contrast to the Economic Conservatives who supported an anti-interventionist foreign policy that puts America first, not Israel or any other nation. Therefore, Pat Buchanan represented the Economic Conservatives who were classic isolationists. Buchanan saw that many of the Neocons were Jewish, and that their policies of regime change in the Middle East was to secure Israel and this he saw them as having "dual loyalties."

**I once said, 'We will bury you,'  
and I got into trouble with it.  
Of course we will not bury you  
with a shovel. Your own  
working class will bury you.**




**Nikita Khrushchev**  
(1894 - 1971)


The Economic Conservatives have feared that the Neocons managed to conquer the Republican Party and much of the Democrats pushing for the confrontation with Russia and China. Their end goal is to impose their view of Democracy upon the world just as Khrushchev once viewed the Communism would bury the West.

**“Divide et Impera”  
Divide and Rule**

**Julius Caesar  
(100-44BC)**



The rise of Neocons has been achieved perhaps following the strategy of Julius Caesar (100–44BC) – ***Divide and Conquer***. They have managed to carve out a third party with a foot in each camp of the Democrats and Republicans. The Economic Conservatives have become infuriated for everything they stood for under Reaganomics of reducing the size and control of government has been lost. The Neocons believe in the ultimate government control. Regan's slogan that the most terrifying words are when the government claims they are here to help.



The Economic Conservatives believe that the Neocons have betrayed everything conservatives were supposed to stand for. They are by no means remotely close to traditional conservatives or constitutional conservatives for that matter.

Neocons have introduced an agenda of transforming the globe into their version of paradise just as Karl Marx. Trump called them the **purveyor of mass death** for they support war to achieve their end dreams of political utopia just as the Russian Bolsheviks in 1917. They certainly are not the supporter of conservative values whereas I do not tell you how-to live-in return for the same expectation from others. The Neocons' vision of free trade and globalization, is a sacrifice of independence and adherence to a centralized control in a one-world agenda. Indeed, under these very theories, Karl Marx himself was a Neocon.



# Russia & German Gas Relationship 51.6 Years?



West German Chancellor Konrad Adenauer

The destruction of the Nord Stream pipeline on the orders of President Biden came on the most interesting target of all – 51.6-year Economic Confidence Model. Indeed, ever since 1971, Russian gas has powered German homes and businesses. Without that energy, Germany would never have risen to the #1 economy in Europe and Americans would not be enjoying their BMWs, Porsches, and Mercedes. The Neocons from the outset did everything in their power to deny Germany access to Russian energy all for political objectives.

It was 1955 when West German Chancellor Konrad Adenauer (1876–1967) visited Moscow and established diplomatic relations for the first time between the new Federal Republic of Germany and the Soviet Union. Adenauer was Chancellor from 1949 to 1963. He opened the door for the trade agreement that followed in 1958 and by 1960, bilateral trade between the countries was booming.

## Russia & German Gas Relationship 51.6 Years?

The Trade Agreement was reported worldwide by the Associate Press on April 9<sup>th</sup>, 1958 (1958.271). Even so, from the very beginning, that trade link between Germany and Russia was controversial to say the least. The United States at the directions of the Neocons was always against it and would criticize Germany behind every closed-door session. However, the US intimidation failed because it was necessary for the German people and their future.

Indeed, the Russian/West German Trade Agreement had very much established a deep economic relationship between the two countries and the West never understood that the secret to the success of the Roman Empire and its *Pax Romana* was that creating such economic ties ensures peace. If Russia became dependent on that trade for its own people, it would promote peace where the nuclear arms never did anything but promote the Cold War.

Germany's opposition to banning imports of Russian gas was supposed to force Putin to withdraw from Ukraine when in fact, the West had planned this war from the outset. This was always the goal to isolate Russia from world trade. The proof



Elmira Advertiser, Elmira, New York - April 9th, 1958



of that statement was the plain fact that former Chancellor Merkel admitted that they entered the Minsk Agreement only to stall for time to allow Ukraine to build its army. They never negotiated in good faith concerning the Donbas. The West deliberately disregarded human rights of those in the Donbas and instigated this Ukrainian Civil War with the intension of using Ukraine to weaken the defenses of Russia.



## Inside Climate News

Donate



Politics & Policy

### John McCain's Climate Change Legacy

The senator from Arizona brought climate science into Capitol Hill hearings and cap-and-trade legislation to a vote, but then moderate Republican politics changed.



By Marianne Lavelle

August 26, 2018

Germany opposed cutting off Russia's energy revenues with oil and gas embargoes cold-turkey. The United States argued it would hit Moscow where it hurts and undermine its economy. That was the real objective to eventually destroy the Russian economy. With the propaganda of climate change introduced by John McCain for the sole purpose of destroying the Russian economy since 50% was all fossil fuels. John McCain used Climate Change as his weapon to achieve the Neocon goals. John McCain was pushing for nuclear power in Europe also to undermine the Russian economy – not for climate concerns.

Home > News > World > Merkel defends nuclear power exit despite climate challenges

WORLD

## Merkel defends nuclear power exit despite climate challenges COMMENTS

By Reuters • Updated: 20/11/2021



Exclusive-Merkel defends nuclear power exit, despite climate challenges - Copyright Thomson Reuters 2021

Germany, on the other hand, realized its entire economy would be undermined with the sanction to be imposed on Russia. After the Japanese Fukushima nuclear disaster of March 11<sup>th</sup>, 2011 caused by the earthquake, Chancellor Merkel defended her ban on nuclear power. The German elections took place in March 2011 and Merkel's party suffered a crushing defeat. She turned to the Greens and thus banned nuclear power outright.

TUESDAY 29 MARCH 2011 **THE INDEPENDENT**

## Merkel blames state election defeats on Japan nuclear disaster

Hence, Germany would suffer economically cutting off Russian energy since it cut off the use of nuclear power. The Greens oppose any nuclear alternative. They oppose coal and fossil fuels. Their position has been unrealistic and more of some religious cult than modern reasoning.

# Russia & German Gas Relationship 51.6 Years?

Clean Energy

4 minutes read - February 27, 2022 4:50 PM EST - Last Updated a year ago

## Nuclear, coal, LNG: 'no taboos' in Germany's energy about-face

By Christoph Steltz, Riham Aikousaa and Maria Sheahan

Summary Companies


- Germany to build two LNG terminals - Scholz
- May let coal and nuclear plants run longer - Habeck
- Germany depends on Russia for half its energy

Consequently, Germany, in turn, argued for a phased approach, admitting that their dependency on Russian energy supplies being 50% of all energy also underpinned their entire economy from heating homes to manufacture. Attacking the Russian energy industry would also undermine Europe, but the United States was single-minded – it had to destroy Russia using its economy by stopping all energy flows. The Neocons from the outset only saw Russian revenues would be used to increase its military strength. They refused to ever look at the situation of the economic ties would create peace.

In 2021, Olaf Scholz of the Social Democratic Party of Germany (SPD) became the 33<sup>rd</sup> Chancellor of Germany since Bismarck (1871–1890) on December 8<sup>th</sup>, 2021. The



German Mark to US Dollar  
January - December 1922



SPD played a key role in the German Revolution of 1918–1919 proclaiming the Weimar Republic. The SPD was the largest party during the first 13 years of the new Weimar Republic and its communistic policies and forced loan confiscating 10% of everyone's assets in December 1922, set off the entire hyperinflation in 1923.

## Russia & German Gas Relationship 51.6 Years?



**Olaf Scholz (born 1958) elected 2021**  
33rd Chancellor of Germany since Otto von Bismarck (1871-90)

Ironically, Olaf Scholz was born in 1958 the very year that the Trade Agreement between Germany and Russia was signed. Scholz came out and made it quite clear what the German position was regarding Russia on Monday, March 7<sup>th</sup>, 2022.

***“Europe has deliberately exempted energy supplies from Russia from sanctions,”*** he said in a statement. ***“At the moment, Europe’s supply of energy for heat generation, mobility, power supply and industry cannot be secured in any other way.”***

Germany consumed just under 20% of all Russian gas exports in 2020. About 50% of all of its gas was coming from Russia. Germany was clearly Russia's #1 customer. That was why the United States took the initiative to simply blow up the Nord Stream Pipeline without consulting with Germany.

Seymour Hersch, who won a Pulitzer Prize for his reporting on the My Lai massacre in Vietnam, reported that the United States destroyed Nord Stream Pipeline. The press has circled the wagons to protect the Neocons. The press seems to be responding as ordered from a central command. Russia has asked the United Nations to investigate, but of course the UN will also protect the United States.

This was clearly an act of war against Russia, but also against Germany. This was the main objective of the Neocons from the very beginning. If someone attacked the US power grid or blew it up as to extinguish much of its industry to even operate, you can bet the United States would have declared war. This was also an act of war against the German people regardless of whatever their politicians might say.

## Russia & German Gas Relationship 51.6 Years?

Chancellor Olaf Scholz in a March 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2022 speech to Germany's lower house of parliament, he admitted that ***“over the past decades, our dependence on oil, coal, and gas from Russia has been increasing,”*** He yielded to the United States Neocons and added: ***“We will end this dependence as quickly as possible.”***

The first oil pipeline between the USSR and West Germany, nicknamed the “Friendship Pipeline,” began operations in 1964. The first natural-gas pipeline between the two followed in 1973, the same year the Soviet Union started pumping gas to East Germany.



The Friendship Pipeline, formerly the Druzhba Pipeline, is by far one of the world's longest oil pipelines. In fact, it is one of the largest oil pipeline networks in the world. It was carrying oil over the course of 2,500 miles (4,000 kilometers) from the eastern part of Russia to Austria and Germany. The network also branches out into numerous smaller pipelines to deliver its product throughout Eastern Europe and including Ukraine, Belarus, Poland, Hungary, Slovakia, and the Czech Republic.



**Leonid I. Brezhnev**  
(1906 – 1982)  
Chairman of the Supreme Soviet (1960–1964, 1977–1982)  
(born in Kamianske, Ukraine)

Indeed, Russian General Secretary Leonid Brezhnev of the USSR said in February 1971.

## Russia & German Gas Relationship 51.6 Years?

***"This will change our very being ...[it] will change our possibilities, our relationship with all of Europe — and not only with the socialist countries, where we are able to ship gas and oil, but with France, the FRG, Italy."***

~~SECRET/NO FOREIGN DISSEM~~

RECEIVED  
N.S.C. DEPARTMENT OF STATE  
THE DIRECTOR OF INTELLIGENCE AND RESEARCH

TO: **MAR 1: H.16 AM '63**  
THROUGH: s/s  
FROM: INR - Roger Hillsman

INTELLIGENCE NOTE: WESTERN RESTRICTIONS ON LARGE-DIAMETER LINEPIPE EXPORTS HURTING SOVIET BLOC

Sharp Soviet Reaction to Western Restrictions on Linepipe Exports.

Khrushchev's public and private reaction to the Western agreement to restrict exports of large-diameter linepipe to the Soviet Bloc indicates once again the importance of obtaining linepipe imports if Soviet oil as well as gas trunkline construction schedules are to be met. In particular, progress of the important Friendship line to the satellites and to the Baltic Sea, which is heavily dependent on imports of 40-inch pipe from the West, may be slowed down. Khrushchev, in his major speech yesterday (February 27) referred to the restriction as an exercise by the United States of "crude pressure upon Western Germany, Japan, Sweden, and other countries, to foil their implementation of orders for steel tubes for the Soviet Union." He ridiculed the classification by the United States of large-diameter linepipe as a strategic material.

Protest Primarily for Economic Reasons. Although Khrushchev's reaction reflects Soviet political sensitivity to interference by the United States in what they regard as legitimate trade, the strength of the protest undoubtedly stems from economic reasons. Since the NATO agreement to restrict exports, in which Japan has concurred, became obvious to the Soviets through various restrictive actions in Germany, Italy, France and Japan, they have

~~SECRET/NO FOREIGN DISSEM~~

*Handwritten notes and stamps: "RETURN TO Mr. Bunt", "FILE", "MAR 1 1963", "If you want to see it", "Rog Hillsman", "Klein", "D", "76-2121"*

In early 1963, the US and NATO imposed an embargo against shipping pipe to Russia to complete its energy pipelines. They were taking the position that this would strengthen the Russian economy and it would be used to expand their military. This was typical paranoia. However, we must look at this also from their perspective to understand the evolution of events rather than form a one-sided bias.



### The Times - March 8th, 1964

The Neocons claimed that Russia's deal with Germany was really a covert offensive to make Europe dependent upon Russian energy and then they would cut it off and invade. This paranoia came with the aftermath of the 1958–1961 Berlin Crisis.

### The Berlin Crisis, 1958–1961

It was on November 10<sup>th</sup>, 1958, when then Soviet Premier Nikita Khrushchev (1894–1971), who succeeded Joseph Stalin (1878–1953), delivered a speech in which he demanded that the Western powers of the United States, Great Britain and France, would withdraw all their forces from West Berlin delivering a six-month ultimatum. It was this ultimatum that sparked what became a three-year Berlin Crisis that finally resulted in the 1961 construction of the Berlin Wall. The division of Germany and its capital city of Berlin divided among the four victors became a symbol of the new Cold War. All agreements made postwar to allow the unification of the two zones meant nothing.

## Russia & German Gas Relationship 51.6 Years?

West Berlin remained under western control, but it was located deep inside East German territory. That became the focus of the Cold War and the insistence of defending freedom against the rising tide of communist. It was 1948, when the Soviet Union divided the city between West Germany and West Berlin. That led to a year-long airlift of supplies to the stranded citizens before the Soviets reopened the passageways.

By 1958, West Berlin had grown too populous and too prosperous to be supplied via air. West Berlin now became the economic symbol of both political freedom and the

success of the capitalist system. The Soviets, now under Khrushchev, made the decision to cut off land access once again. Khrushchev loved a good fight and he seemed to be trying to pick one all the time with the United States.

Khrushchev' actions in 1958 presented a serious conflict between the two powers. In truth, the very existence of West Berlin deep inside East Germany behind enemy lines, was becoming a major liability for Khrushchev. Berlin became the living example of the difference between Marxist theory and Capitalism of Adam Smith. The sharp contrast between the two and the freedom of movement between West and East Berlin resulted in a mass exodus from the eastern side to the West. Nothing more than this migration exposed the real failure of communism.

Rather than reassess the failures of Marxism, the Soviets restored to drastic action just as our Western leaders are doing now with the failure of socialism in the 21<sup>st</sup> century. Khrushchev needed to stop the migration to freedom. Khrushchev insisted





## West Prepares Slap at Soviet

WASHINGTON (AP)—The United States, Britain, and France will send notes to Moscow in the next 10 days demanding that Premier Nikita Khrushchev drop his six-month Berlin ultimatum as a condition for opening East-West negotiations on the whole range of German reunification problems.

Associate Press, Pensacola, Florida - December 21st, 1958

in his November 1958 speech that it was time for the United States to pull out of the city. The west viewed this as his ultimatum, and President Eisenhower became indeed quite determined not to give in to Soviet demands.

The two sides agreed to a foreign minister's conference to be held in Geneva during the summer of 1959. They attempted to negotiate a new agreement dealing with the whole Berlin Crisis. Khrushchev insisted that the Western garrisons had to leave West Berlin as a precursor to reunifying the city. President Eisenhower believed that protecting the freedom of West Berlin required an ongoing U.S. presence. Khrushchev met with Eisenhower engaging in talks at Camp David in the United States during late September 1959.

They had planned another summit in May 1960. However, that is when the Soviet Union shot down an American U-2 spy plane canvassing Soviet territory in 1960. In



President Eisenhower & Soviet Premier Nikita Khrushchev  
Camp David summit, September 25th, 1959



Francis Gary Powers  
(1929 - 1977)

the wake of this incident, there appeared to be very little hope for accommodation with talks concerning Berlin.

It was on May 1<sup>st</sup>, 1960, when a U-2 flight piloted by Francis Gary Powers (1929-1977) disappeared while on a flight over Russia. The CIA reassured the president that, even if the plane had been shot down, it was equipped with self-destruct mechanisms that would render any wreckage unrecognizable and the pilot was instructed to kill himself in such a situation.



May 1st, 1960, Spy Plane U-2 flight Shot Down piloted by Francis Gary Powers  
US Claims it was a Weather Plane

Based on this information, the U.S. government issued a cover statement indicating that a weather plane had veered off course and supposedly crashed somewhere in the Soviet Union. Khrushchev rose to the occasion and orchestrated one of the most dramatic moments of the Cold War.

On May 16<sup>th</sup>, 1960 a major summit between the United States, the Soviet Union, Great Britain, and France began in Paris, Khrushchev produced not only the mostly-intact wreckage of the U-2, but also the captured pilot—very much alive. Khrushchev launched into a tirade of accusations against the United States and Eisenhower who caught in an obvious lie, stormed out of the summit. Eisenhower, was forced to publicly admit that it was indeed a U.S. spy plane.

The meeting collapsed immediately and the summit was called off. Eisenhower, considered the “stupid U-2 mess” one of the worst debacles of his presidency. The pilot, Francis Gary Powers, was released in 1962 in a dramatic prisoner exchange for a U.S. captured Soviet spy.

It was this U-2 incident that ended all talks about Berlin. Khrushchev then deliberately refused to negotiate and decided to wait it out for the 1960 U.S. presidential elections to take place with a new president.

## Russia & German Gas Relationship 51.6 Years?



John F. Kennedy & Nikita Khrushchev  
Vienna the Vienna Summit June 4th, 1961

The new President was John F. Kennedy (1917 – November 22, 1963) who then met with Khrushchev in Vienna on June 4<sup>th</sup>, 1961 to address the ongoing issue of Berlin. Additionally, they discussed their competing interests in Laos, and the question of disarmament. Although they agreed to further discussions on Laos, they found no solution to the Berlin problem.

After the Vienna Summit, Khrushchev once again gave the United States six months to withdraw from Berlin. Kennedy responded by activating 150,000 reservists and increasing defense expenditures. The prospect of war was rising. Khrushchev responded on the morning of August 13<sup>th</sup>, 1961, when Berliners awoke to discover that their city was divided using a barbed wire fence that had been constructed overnight thereby separating West and East Berlin. The freedom of movement ended then and there.



The barbed wire fence was soon expanded to include cement walls and guard towers. The Berlin Wall would prevent the West from having further influence on the East, but most of all, it ended the migration out of the communist sector into the freedom of West Berlin. The

Berlin Wall became the enduring symbol of not just the Cold War, but the stake difference between Capitalism and Communism.



**Checkpoint Charlie - Berlin 1977**

Shortly after the wall was erected, a standoff between U.S. and Soviet troops on either side of the diplomatic checkpoint led to one of the tensest moments of the Cold War in Europe. A dispute over whether East German or Soviet guards were authorized to patrol the checkpoints and examine the travel documents of U.S. diplomats passing through led the United States to station tanks on its side of the checkpoint, pointing toward the East German troops just beyond the wall.

Concerns that U.S. forces would either attempt to take down the wall or force their way through the checkpoint led the Soviet Union to station its own tanks on the East German side. A wrong move by either side would possibly lead to war. It was during this time period when I walked through Checkpoint Charlie myself with a friend to visit his family trapped on the other side. I wanted to see this for myself to judge the situation directly rather than rely on government propaganda.

What I saw was bone-chilling. His cousin showed us around town. She could only speak freely when nobody was around. Otherwise, she would praise the state for taking such wonderful care of the people.


## Russia & German Gas Relationship 51.6 Years?

The Berlin Wall remained in place from August 13<sup>th</sup>, 1961 (1961.616) until November 9<sup>th</sup>, 1989 (1989.857), when the border between East and West Berlin was reopened and the wall itself was finally dismantled. Its duration was 28.24 years. However, on May 7<sup>th</sup>, 1945 (1945.347), Germany unconditionally surrendered to the Allies in Reims, France, ending World War II and the Third Reich. You could then say that the division of Berlin lasted for 44.51 years.

All of this was followed the next year by The **Cuban Missile Crisis**, which lasted for 35 days between October 16<sup>th</sup>, to November 20<sup>th</sup>, 1962. I was only 13 years old at the time. But I recall walking with my friends and talking about possible nuclear war.



Then came the assassination of President John F. Kennedy on November 22<sup>nd</sup>, 1963. Nobody seemed to buy the story of Lee Harvey Oswald (1939 – November 24, 1963) and the magic bullet theory. I happened to have known Senator Arlen Specter (1930–2012) who came up with the ***Magic Bullet Theory*** that a bullet exited Kennedy's throat and struck Texas Governor John Connally claiming it was the same bullet. Specter lived a couple of doors from me on Long Beach Island, New Jersey. He would never admit anything to me, but he would also not deny anything.



Lee Harvey Oswald standing with Dallas Police officers  
Accused of assassinating John F. Kennedy on November 22nd, 1963

Roger Stone (born 1952) who was in the Nixon Administration in the Office of Economic Opportunity, came out and said that recently resurfaced tapes of 37th President Richard Nixon suggest that the former leader of the free world knew that the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) was involved in the assassination of 35th President John F. Kennedy in 1963. Most people believe that for the CIA tried to link Oswald with Russia claiming he visited there.

Nonetheless, the news of the assassination indeed sent a shockwave reverberating across the entire globe. Khrushchev was awakened by an aide with the words: ***"Kennedy's been killed!"*** According to some accounts, the first thing he asked

## Russia & German Gas Relationship 51.6 Years?

was: ***“Did we have anything to do with it?”*** Illustrating how heads of state are not really in control of their Deep States below.

Khrushchev became deeply concerned that perhaps it was a coup and in the absence of a U.S. president, the nightmare scenario was that some radical anti-Soviet forces might seize control and launch a nuclear strike against Russia. Archival documents indicate that the Kremlin was ***“in a state of shock and turmoil”***: ***“The Soviet leadership was concerned that in the absence of a [U.S.] leader, some irresponsible general might launch a missile strike on the USSR.”***

In the book *Nikita Khrushchev: Reformer*, the memoirs of the Soviet leader’s son, Sergey Khrushchev states that his father fell to his knees and sobbed over the killing. In life, JFK had been a hope for the Soviet Union; in death, he became a major problem with the CIA pointing the finger at Russia even indirectly using Oswald’s traveling to Russia. Conveniently, the then assassination of Oswald prevented ever having any trial and left a huge question mark in the minds of many Americans all because Kennedy was also anti-war and thus anti-Neocon.



There were some who completely do not come close to understanding that the Neocon did not emerge from the Republicans. They claimed that Kennedy was a

Neocon because he increased the military expenditures in his confrontation with Russia but he also cut taxes. Hence, he must have been a covert Republican. Interesting sophistry, but the assumption that a Neocon must be a Republican was way off the mark.



The Neocons were never interested in the domestic economic policies.

Their entire focus and purpose in life was to defeat Communism and when that fell in 1989, they never changed their theories. They have manipulated governments to achieve their goals and not once have they ever considered that the people of Russia and China would never support a return to communism.

~~TOP SECRET SPECIAL HANDLING NOFORN~~



THE JOINT CHIEFS OF STAFF  
WASHINGTON 25, D.C.

UNCLASSIFIED

13 March 1962

MEMORANDUM FOR THE SECRETARY OF DEFENSE

Subject: Justification for US Military Intervention  
in Cuba (TS)

1. The Joint Chiefs of Staff have considered the attached Memorandum for the Chief of Operations, Cuba Project, which responds to a request of that office for brief but precise description of pretexts which would provide justification for US military intervention in Cuba.
2. The Joint Chiefs of Staff recommend that the proposed memorandum be forwarded as a preliminary submission suitable for planning purposes. It is assumed that there will be similar submissions from other agencies and that these inputs will be used as a basis for developing a time-phased plan. Individual projects can then be considered on a case-by-case basis.
3. Further, it is assumed that a single agency will be given the primary responsibility for developing military and para-military aspects of the basic plan. It is recommended that this responsibility for both overt and covert military operations be assigned the Joint Chiefs of Staff.

For the Joint Chiefs of Staff:

SYSTEMATICALLY REVIEWED  
BY JCS ON 21 May 84  
CLASSIFICATION CONTINUED

*L. L. Lemnitzer*  
L. L. LEMNITZER  
Chairman  
Joint Chiefs of Staff

1 Enclosure  
Memo for Chief of Operations, Cuba Project EXCLUDED FROM GDS

EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC  
REGRADING; DOD DIR 5200.10  
DOES NOT APPLY

~~TOP SECRET SPECIAL HANDLING NOFORN~~


Further evidence that Kennedy was not a Neocon was their proposal for Operation Northwoods to kill American civilians and blame it on Castro to justify invading Cuba. This clearly warns that the Neocons have no problem killing American citizens to justify their war ambitions. The Deep State can launch a cyberattack and blame Russia all for more power precisely as Operation Northwoods. The Ukrainians have been using this same strategy doing everything from shooting down planes like MI17 to shooting a missile into Poland and try to claim Russia attacked NATO. mode. There are no ethics among the Neocons – the ends always justify the means.

## Russia & German Gas Relationship 51.6 Years?



According to declassified documents based on reports filed by U.S. agents inside the USSR, the Kremlin feared that the assassination of Kennedy was the result of a conspiracy of ultra-right elements dissatisfied with the Kennedy administration – the Neocons. They seemed to believe that Vice President Lyndon Johnson (1908–1973), may have been involved, which was also a popular theory at the time.

This position seemed to agree with the 1966 investigation of James C. Garrison (1921–1992), the district attorney for New Orleans, who prosecuted Clay Shaw who was acquitted of a conspiracy with the CIA. That became the foundation of Oliver Stone's film JFK in 1991.



Nevertheless, the opinion that the assassination was in some way linked to the USSR and a possible conspiracy with Cuba, was very widespread at the time. The Kremlin decided that it had to defend itself. It made a statement:

***"Only a maniac could think that 'leftist forces' represented by the U.S. Communist Party could have killed President Kennedy."***

Indeed, Kennedy was not anxious to enter war in Vietnam. Clearly, taking Kennedy out of the picture only increased the tensions with Russia and it benefited the American Neocons. The Neocon Robert S. McNamara (1916–2009) in his book *In Retrospect* made it clear that the mistakes



and misjudgments had to do with failing to understand the Russian mindset. He explained *"our profound ignorance of the history, culture, and politics of the people..."* However, the Neocons are like a cult. They regarded McNamara as a fool who fell from grace. Yet, Arthur Schlesinger, Jr. in his review of McNamara's book wrote:

*"Can anyone remember a public official with the courage to confess error and explain where he and his country went wrong? This is what Robert McNamara does in this brave, honest, honorable, and altogether compelling book."*

I once said, 'We will bury you,' and I got into trouble with it. Of course we will not bury you with a shovel. Your own working class will bury you.



Nikita Khrushchev  
(1894 - 1971)

Nikita Khrushchev (1894-1971) who had succeeded Stalin in 1953, was addressing Western ambassadors at a reception at the Polish embassy in Moscow on November 18<sup>th</sup>, 1956. That is when he delivered probably his most memorable line - *"We will bury you"* (Russian: *"My vas pokhoronim!"*). No doubt, that one liner was received very negatively by the West. It definitely set the tone for the Cold War and became the call to arms for the Neocons.

In 1981, the Soviet Union was constructing a natural gas pipeline from Siberia to Western Europe. The US continued to object to any economic ties with Russia. The Reagan administration tried to persuade European allies such as France and Germany to join its embargo of not only pipeline equipment for the project but financing as well. They refused, and the U.S. responded with sanctions intended to prevent European companies from providing money or equipment to the Russian project.

The American Neocons only saw the economic ties as a threat. They portrayed that Europe would be dependent on Russia energy. However, absent from their analysis was that equally Russia would be dependent on those same economic ties and like the Roman Empire, you do not bite the hand that feeds you.



Associated Press - Dec 30th, 1981

## US's Russian Grain Embargo Is Termed Success In Europe

BRUSSELS, Belgium (AP) — U.S. and Common Market officials called the Soviet grain embargo a success Friday and predicted America's European allies would reject newly emerging French pressure against the program.

A Common Market spokesman said exports of grain to the Soviet Union since a January decision to support the embargo were within limits suggested by the United States.

And U.S. Agriculture Department Counselor Wayne Sharp said the embargo had hurt the Soviet Union, had been complied with by the Common Market

and that he was satisfied the Europeans would continue to respect it.

The flurry of defense for past performance and future solidarity was sparked by statements by French officials that the embargo wasn't working and therefore was hurting complying countries, like France and its Common Market partners.

But he said he doubted the French could convince the other eight Common Market countries to change the embargo policy or convince the allies it isn't working. British Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher has been the staunchest supporter of the embargo.

AP - The Sacramento Bee, Sacramento, California - Oct 25th, 1980

The policies of the Neocons have always been to impose US embargos to hurt the Russian economy. When Russia invaded Afghanistan in December 1979, the Neocons insisted on imposing a grain embargo against the Russia people. The Neocon Embargo on pipe for Russia was largely rejected in Europe out of self-interest. The embargo was clearly hurting the West more so than Russia.

Consequently, for almost 51.6 years, Germany was heating homes, powering businesses, cooking food and lighting up streets all with Russian gas. Russia has been the world's biggest natural gas exporter, which has been supplying countries throughout the EU, and many in eastern Europe who are even more dependent on Russian gas than Germany. The United States Neocons has only been concerned about destroying that energy supply from Russia with the hopes that would cause its economy to implode thereby destroying Russia as a superpower. This was never about the climate or even about the Russians who have lived the Donbas for centuries. That is all irrelevant. Their only concern is to destroy Russia and China regardless of the free market reforms post-1989.

## Russia & German Gas Relationship 51.6 Years?

The Biden Administration is patting itself on the back and telling itself at the same time that it is saving the planet from Climate Change and therefore they **MUST** destroy Russia. This was made clear by Secretary of State Anthony Blinken who actually boasted at the end of the week on October 1<sup>st</sup>, 2022 after blowing up the Nord Stream pipeline:

*"It's a tremendous opportunity to once and for all remove the dependence on Russian energy and thus to take away from Vladimir Putin the weaponization of energy as a means of advancing his imperial designs."*



It has been the Neocons who have imperial designs as they have demonstrated numerous times with Iraq and even Afghanistan. This demonstrated that the United States never sought to ever lift sanctions against Russia if they pulled out of Ukraine. They were only interested in permanently destroying Russia as an energy source. Hence, this entire war with Ukraine was provoked by the West using Ukraine as the sacrificial lamb on their altar of Climate Change and power.

What Anthony Blinken said was very clear for the US has just constantly painted Putin as having imperial designs to reestablish the USSR when in fact he has been in power since 1999 and has never once taken any steps to reestablish the USSR. Yeltsin turned to Putin because the communists were trying to impeach him and the NY Bankers were blackmailing him. Put stood between them. The Russian people had no desire to return to the USSR days and Putin's popularity rose over 70% because he was not a communist.

As Maureen Orth wrote in *Vanity Fair* August 14<sup>th</sup>, 2008, that Putin was seen as a young strong leader writing *"Putin's instant popularity took Kremlin image-makers totally by surprise."* The people rejoiced with the rise of Putin precisely because he was not a communist nor a politician. He had even quite the KGB as soon as the USSR fell in 1991.

The Neocons had to paint Putin as evil in order to justify their objectives to overthrow and conquer Russia. It was the same theory they used in Iraq. The people would rejoice for they were freeing them from Saddam Hussein.



As Tony Blair had to admit in his apology for entering the Iraq War. Blair said he had wanted to set the Iraqi people free and secure them from the “evil” of Saddam Hussein, but instead they had become victims of sectarian violence. He also admitted *“I apologize for the fact that the intelligence we received was wrong.”* The Neocons have always manipulated the interpretation to suit their end goals. This has been part of their strategy since the 1950s.

*“For all of this, I express more sorrow, regret and apology than you can ever know or believe.”*

*“I also apologize for some of the mistakes in planning and, certainly, our mistake in our understanding of what would happen once you removed the regime.”*

...

*“What then happened was a combination of two things: there was a sectarian policy pursued by the government of Iraq, which were mistaken policies. But also when the Arab spring began, Isis moved from Iraq into Syria, built themselves from Syria and then came back into Iraq. All of this he has said before.”*

Once again, the Neocons have orchestrated this war in Ukraine as their end goal – to destroy Russia once and for all. Like Blair explained, they never consider the aftermath. Removing Saddam led to sectarian chaos and ISIS. Removing the head of state of Russia which has more nukes than anyone else would lead to the breakup of Russia and numerous independent states with nuclear weapons.

## Russia & German Gas Relationship 51.6 Years?

The United States covertly got Britain to blow up the Nord Stream Pipeline so it could claim deniability. However, the Russians hacked Liz Truss's phone and she sent a text to Blinken 1 minute after the pipeline was destroyed saying "It's done."

It was John McCain who began pushing Climate Change and the need to end fossil fuels moving to nuclear, which was a covert effort to undermine the Russian economy. McCain never cared at all about the climate<sup>2</sup>. He was a Neocon who believed in perpetual war. Climate Change got its start not for the environment, but as a weapon to undermine the Russian economy. As a result, the Neocons have enlisted the Greens to push this agenda to insane levels. This is why they are insisting on ZERO CO2 now before there are any alternatives available to even replace fossil fuels with solar panels and wind turbines.

Politics & Policy

### John McCain's Climate Change Legacy

The senator from Arizona brought climate science into Capitol Hill hearings and cap-and-trade legislation to a vote, but then moderate Republican politics changed.



By Marianne Lavelle  
August 26, 2018

## US Covert Policy to End European & Russian Energy Flows



From the very beginning, the West's Neocons were against Germany and Russia engaging in any trade deals whatsoever. They only saw income that would support Russia as a superpower.

Ever since, Germany's relationship with Russian gas has been an endless source of controversy to the point that Climate Change became the answer to fire up the Greens and the proposition of the Extinction Rebellion. This was all set-in motion by John McCain as the covert means to destroy the Russian economy. The sole goal was to terminate the link of energy between Germany and Russia. The Greens never realized that they themselves became a pawn in this quest for world domination.

<sup>2</sup> <https://insideclimatenews.org/news/26082018/john-mccain-climate-change-leadership-senate-cap-trade-bipartisan-lieberman-republican-campaign/>

### *East-West Struggle Develops Over Soviet Pipe Purchases*



AP Wirephotos  
A Western embargo on Russia's efforts to purchase urgently needed "big inch" pipe to complete construction of a giant system of oil and gas lines is seriously delaying construction. This is a section of Russia's oil pipeline in the Carpathian mountains. The pipeline is called "Druzhba," or "Friendship."

NY Times - via The Montreal Star Montreal, Feb 2nd, 1963

The US. embargo on pipe exports in the early 1960s was the first effort to interfere and prevent Germany for doing business with Russia. The Neocon sold their concerns to several US presidents about Europe's growing dependency on the Russian energy source. Then by the 1980s, President Ronald Reagan repeatedly tried to convince Germany and other European countries to reduce the amount of Russian gas they imported. Using recently declassified government documents, it has become clear that the Ronald Reagan Administration was waging an economic war on the Soviet Union in 1982. The evidence shows

the administration attempted to coerce West European cooperation with policies intended to undermine the Soviet economy by cutting off the energy supplies. But U.S. allies forced the administration to retreat.

Simply put, the business relationship that had evolved was clearly seen as beneficial for both sides from Europe's perspective. By the time the Berlin Wall came down in 1989, the Soviet Union accounted for around one-third of all gas demand in West Germany. In terms of volume, Russian gas supplies to Germany had increased from 1.1 billion cubic meters in 1973 to 25.7 billion cubic meters in 1993. The US Neocons did not care about Europe, it was all about hurting Russia.

### **Rising Geopolitical tension with Ukraine**

In 1991, Gazprom reached the stark reality that the rising nationalism in Ukraine would fester into a problem for, the Russian state natural gas company. They developed a strategy to circumvent Ukrainian territory, where the official reason was that Ukraine's poor gas infrastructure was the issue. However, the real problem was the rising geopolitical reasons.

## Russia & German Gas Relationship 51.6 Years?



Yamal Pipeline

The Yamal pipeline, which hit full capacity in 2006, connects Siberian gas fields with Germany via Belarus and Poland. Planning for the Yamal–Europe pipeline began in 1992 when treaties between Russia, Belarus and Poland put in motion. They were eventually signed in 1993. In 1994, construction began building the

Poland section of the pipeline. The first gas was delivered to Germany through the Belarus–Polish corridor eventually in 1997. The Belarus and Polish sections were completed in September 1999 curiously about the time when gold bottomed and the commodity markets began to rise.

The geopolitical concerns concerning Ukraine are not well known. Ukraine played perhaps the pivotal role in the collapse of the Soviet Union. On June 28<sup>th</sup>, 1990, the Ukrainian SSR Supreme Council began discussing state sovereignty for Ukraine. In the process, the deputies were informed about the Ukrainian high-profile politician, Vladimir (Volodymyr) Ivashko (1932–1994), resigned as head of the Ukrainian Supreme Council.



Vladimir A. Ivashko  
(1932 – 1994)



Mikhail Gorbachev  
(1931–2022)  
(President of Russia 1990–1991)  
(General Secretary 1985–1991)

Then President Mikhail Gorbachev (1990–1991) understood the Ukrainian nationalists were a real problem – the Neo-Nazis. He offered Ivashko a newly-created position of Deputy Secretary General of the Soviet Union Communist Party Secretary General.

President Gorbachev was trying to defuse the problem of Ukrainian nationalists who were agitating for independence in 1991. Ukrainian Nazis were never prosecuted with their German counterparts

## Russia & German Gas Relationship 51.6 Years?

despite the fact that their atrocities had even shocked the Germans. As such, the Ukrainian Nazi movement was never discredited as was the case in Germany. Hence, being offered a position of Deputy Secretary General appeared more promising to Ivashko than the leader in a Ukrainian republican parliament that at its core were Bandera Nazi-nationalists.

Ivashko's political resignation shocked Ukrainian society. His resignation taking the position in Moscow demoralized the local communist majority in the Ukrainian parliament. In the end, it made it much easier for the nationalist opposition to pass a document that was quite radical for its time. They were to realize Bandera's Dream – it established Ukraine's sovereignty. The first two states to declare independence were Ukraine and Belarus on December 10<sup>th</sup>, 1991.

On August 24<sup>th</sup>, 1991, just 48 hours after a coup attempt in Moscow when Boris Yeltsin (1931–2007) stood on the tank



**Leonid M. Kravchuk**  
(1934 – 2022)

*First President of Ukraine*

*Acting: 24 August 24, 1991 – December 5, 1991*

*President December 5, 1991 – July 19, 1994*

had been defeated, Leonid Kravchuk (1934–2022), the speaker of Ukraine's then Soviet-era parliament, scolded fellow MPs in the Ukraine Parliament for delaying the sole item on that day's legislative agenda, the declaration of independence. Thus, Leonid Kravchuk became acting president on August 24<sup>th</sup>, 1991 until December 5<sup>th</sup>, 1991 when he was formally elected president and served from December 5<sup>th</sup>, 1991 until July 19<sup>th</sup>, 1994.

It is against this backdrop that we must view the strategic importance of Nord Stream-I, for it was circumventing Ukraine for precisely the very reasons of Nazi-Nationalists. Nord Stream-I would transport gas directly from Russian territory to German territory via the Baltic Sea, bypassing all countries in between.



## Russia & German Gas Relationship 51.6 Years?

The agreement was signed in 2005 by then German Chancellor Gerhard Schröder (October 27<sup>th</sup>, 1998 – November 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2005) and Russian President Vladimir Putin. The pipeline opened in 2012. Schröder and Putin became friends.



Naturally, Ukraine, Poland, and the Baltic States were all strongly opposed to the pipeline for they would not get to collect any revenue. However, there were those in Germany who understood that it would actually secure peace further cementing ties with Russia in a strategic partnership that ensured cooperation. The American Neocons could not let go of their cold war hatred. Their interpretation was that Russia would benefit from the revenue and they would spend it on military and then invade Europe. They never understood that trade is what preserved the peace in Roman Empire for 1,000 years – not military might.



Once upon a time, it was **PRESUMED** that having nuclear weapons promoted peace. We needed the nuclear arms race to promote deterrence and thus peace. That theory is now completely dead. Everyone has nuclear weapons and now they think nobody will use them and we can now conquer foreign lands even if they have nukes for nobody will use them. So much for theories. Just look at the Ukraine Civil War which has been used as a proxy war against Russia by the Neocons to achieve their life-long goal.

## Russia & German Gas Relationship 51.6 Years?

The Neocons have seized control and have ignored the fact that over the past decades, Germany continued to import gas from Russia at historically high volumes and there has been no attempt to invade Europe no less Eastern Europe.

Still, the German–Russian trade relationship has been consistently attacked by the Neocons based upon their theories of geopolitical concerns that revenue to Russia will be used to strengthen its military to invade Europe. Hence, the US under the Neocons have objected to the German reliance on Russian gas at a time when they claim Russia has shown aggression to Ukraine when in fact the West has choreographed this entire confrontation by the bad faith negotiation of the Minsk Agreement.



These Neocons are a government within a government. They operate under their own rules and are not subject to oversight. Senator Rubio on March 8th, 2022 asked the notorious Neocon Victoria Nuland if Ukraine had biological or chemical weapons, she hesitated choosing her words carefully responding that Ukraine had “biological research labs.” Senator Rubio, quickly changed the subject and the Senate thereafter went into crisis mode desperately trying to undo that testimony. The spin was immediately pretending that there is a distinction between a Biolab for Research and a Biolab for weapons research. It was Nuland who was at the Maidan in Kiev supporting the overthrow of the government even handing out food to the protestors.



Nord Stream 2 was a planned second Baltic Sea pipeline that would have significantly increased the direct supply of gas from Russia to Germany. The United States, the United Kingdom, Ukraine and several EU countries have opposed the pipeline since it was announced in 2015. They warned the project would increase Moscow's influence in Europe adopting the Neocon propaganda.

The Neocons have seized the White House after removing Trump. Back on February 7<sup>th</sup>, 2022, Biden stated

that the US would "end" Nord Stream 2 if Russia invaded Ukraine. When asked by journalists under what authority did Biden have to cut off the energy supply to Germany. He was not very specific on how that would be accomplished. He simply said when pressed for more details:

**"I promise you, we'll be able to do it."**

Clearly, this was the objective from the outset – an economic war against Russia where the West believed they could cause the financial collapse of Russia. They had clearly and deliberately set the tone to force Putin to defend the Donbas when they refused to honor any agreements whatsoever. When even responding to past treaties, the US and the EU rejected everything saying those treaties were with the USSR – not Russia.

The United States under the Biden Administration instantly began to target the pipeline once again with sanctions legislation in 2017, 2019 and 2020, according to the Congressional Research Service. That was all BEFORE the 2022 Ukrainian War. In January 2021, even the Trump administration yielded to the Neocon demands and imposed sanctions on a pipe-laying barge that Gazprom used to build Nord Stream 2. This has been the Neocon war since the 1960s to undermine the Russian economy regardless of who has ever been in power pre- and post-USSR and communism.

## Russia & German Gas Relationship 51.6 Years?

However, despite clear opposition from the Neocons, the pipeline was completed and could have been eventually certified for gas delivery had it not been for the lobbying of the United States at the direction of the Neocons and then the desperate acts to force Putin to come to the aid of the Donbas thereby transforming the Ukrainian Civil War into a Russia Invasion of Ukraine. In the end, that invasion was what forced the German government to indefinitely postpone



**John Robert Bolton**  
26th US National Security Advisor under Trump  
until Trump fired him for always wanting war  
(April 9, 2018 – September 10, 2019)

approval of the pipeline yielding also to the demands of the American Neocons now in full control of the White House.

The Neocons simply lie all the time. Former Trump administration national-security adviser John Bolton (2018–2019) latched on to a 2005 statement by Putin who characterized the Soviet Union's disintegration was the 20th century's greatest geopolitical catastrophe. Bolton was one who was claiming that Putin wanted to reverse the collapse and restore the USSR.

Yet at the same time, discounts Putin's view that the communists ruined the Russian Empire. As the AP reported:  
*"Putin denounced Lenin and his government for brutally executing Russia's last czar along with all his family and servants, killing thousands*

*of priests and placing a "time bomb" under the Russian state by drawing administrative borders along ethnic lines."* That was hardly something that suggested he wanted to restore the USSR.

Bolton became nothing more than a disgruntled former employee who was fired by Trump because he was always supporting war. Bolton dismissed the notion that President Trump deterred Russian president Vladimir Putin from behaving aggressively toward Ukraine while he was in office. Bolton had the audacity to claim that the Trump administration did little to deter Putin saying Trump: *'Barely Knew Where Ukraine Was'* Bolton lashed out at Trump who being anti-war saying: *"Trump was not fit to be president, he was not competent to be president."*



## Russia & German Gas Relationship 51.6 Years?



John Bolton temporarily stunned into silence by Newsmax host's response to his

Newsmax host Rob Schmidt pressed John Bolton to respond to the argument that Putin would not have invaded under a second Trump administration. Schmidt pointed out that the Trump administration held NATO members financially accountable for collective security, sanctioned Nord Stream 2 and oligarchs in Putin's circle, sold anti-tank weapons to Ukraine, and expelled Russian officers, among other measures. He cited a 2019 piece from the Brookings Institution, which is even a Democratic left-wing public-policy think tank, noting that Trump took **52 policy actions** against Putin. He demonstrated that Bolton's argument that the former president was too sympathetic to Putin, the story the Neocons loved to push because Trump was against waging direct war against Russia and China, was all propaganda. How Bolton can even look at himself in a mirror is an accomplishment he deserves and Academy Award for Best Actor.

The Neocon even have Biden paying all the pensions of the Ukrainian government as bribes to support this endless war "...to provide essential services to the people of Ukraine," Biden said on

April 21, 2022. The war in Ukraine was crafted by American Neocons who have seized control of the Biden Administration and the Ukrainian government.

# Neocons

The US and NATO members, including Merkel, appear to have sounded the death knell for the relationship between Germany and Russia. Despite German opposition, the EU was demanding that Germany hurt its own economy and people to satisfy the American Neocons and drastically move away from Russian energy sources entirely. The EU officials actually outlined a plan to end imports of Russian energy before 2030 and to reduce demand by as much as two-thirds in 2022 alone. All of this because the Neocons want to destroy Russia.




### Ursula Gertrud von der Leyen

President of the European Commission since December 1st, 2019  
*In 2016, she became a Member of the Board of Trustees of the World Economic Forum & Schwab put her in the position to be the Head of the EU as his did with the IMF & The ECB*

European Commission President Ursula von der Leyen who became a Board Member of the World Economic Forum (WEF) in 2016, just does what the Neocons instruct her to do against the best interests of the European people. Leyen resigned from the WEF for appearance's sake after becoming head of the EU on December 1<sup>st</sup>, 2019. Indeed, all the key political figures following the Neocons are also board members of the Klaus Schwab's WEF including heads of the IMF and the European Central Bank. Leyen in supporting the Neocon agenda, boldly declared:

*"We must become independent from Russian oil, coal and gas,"* in a statement. *"We simply cannot rely on a supplier who explicitly threatens us."*



There is absolutely no truth behind that statement. It is the standard Neocon propaganda that Russia really wants to invade and conquer Europe.

The German Federal Foreign Minister has actually come out and bluntly said: ***"We are at war with Russia."*** I have been warning from the outset that the West has been the aggressor and deliberately did what it could to compel Putin to invade the very same way that Roosevelt did to Japan (1) by imposing an energy embargo (2) seizing all their assets in the USA, and (3) threatening to blockade any attempt to get energy from any other place.

INQUIRIES  
SOCIAL SCIENCES, ARTS, & HUMANITIES

CURRENT ISSUE | BLOG | ABOUT | SUBMISSIONS | LOGIN

Literature | **History** | Philosophy | Law & Justice | Opinion | All Topics

PEARL HARBOR | FRANKLIN ROOSEVELT | FDR | WORLD WAR II | AMERICAN HISTORY | CONSPIRACY

What are you looking for? SEARCH

## Conspiracy: Did FDR Deceive the American People in a Push for War?

By **Mallary A. Silva**  
2010, VOL. 2 NO. 01 | PG. 1/3

CITE REFERENCES PRINT f t p e +

There were Senate investigations into this very question did Franklin D. Roosevelt (1882–1945; President 1933–1945) deliberately compelled Japan to attack Pearl Harbor just so he could then enter World War II? The American people and Congress refused to fight Europe’s war. That view of distrust of FDR was so great, it compelled the US Senate to hold hearings into what did FDR know in advance of the Pearl Harbor attack.

RT QUESTION MORE LIVE  
Russia & Former Soviet Union | World News | Business | Sport | RT Features | Analysis

7 Dec. 2022 23:45 | Home | World News

### Merkel confirms Ukraine peace deal was a ploy

Minsk agreements gave Ukraine “valuable time” to “get stronger”, said former German chancellor



FILE PHOTO: Leaders of Russia, Ukraine, France and Germany gather for talks in Minsk, Belarus, February 11, 2015 © AP / Alexander Zemlianichenko

Merkel described the September 2014 **Minsk agreement** as “an attempt to give Ukraine time” to build an army clearly showing the West intended to create this war. France and Germany had brokered a ceasefire after the failure of Ukraine’s attempt to subdue the republics of Donetsk and Lugansk by force who sought independence, for they are ethnically Russian and Zelensky has outlawed their language and ordered they are not allowed to be part of the Russian Orthodox Religion. They must be subservient to his new Kyiv Patriarch and Church. Merkel admitted: “[Ukraine] used this time to get stronger, as you can see today.”

## Russia & German Gas Relationship 51.6 Years?

Merkel reiterated.


*"The Ukraine of 2014/15 is not the Ukraine of today. As you saw in the battle for Debaltsevo in early 2015, [Russian President Vladimir] Putin could easily have overrun them at the time. And I very much doubt that the NATO countries could have done as much then as they do now to help Ukraine."*

Merkel, who started the entire Refugee Crisis pretending to be compassionate for Syrians only to divert attention to her ruthless opposition to a Greek bailout. She has not shown the same compassion for the Russians of the Donbas who were attacked by Kyiv forces in 2014 on orders from the US and NATO. Her duplicity will destroy all the achievements of Germany.

Here we have the same pattern confirming that the West has deliberately set this war in motion to conquer Russia. This is why Zelensky has been ordered not to negotiate peace ignoring all the civilian deaths blaming Putin for their own actions.

- (1) In 2014 US installs an interim government in Ukraine which is unelected and immediately sends troops to attack the Donbas for wanting to separate from Ukraine after its own revolution
- (2) West engages in the **Minsk Agreement** whereby Merkel has come out and acknowledged it was in **bad faith** and intended only to allow Ukraine to build its army while making a fool out of Putin for trusting the West
- (3) Zelensky is elected promising to **end corruption and the civil war with Russia**
- (4) VP Kamila Harris at Munich Security Conference tells Ukraine they should **join** NATO
- (5) On February 23rd, 2022, Zelensky announces that Ukraine should rearm itself with **nuclear weapons**

On February 24<sup>th</sup>, 2022, Putin intervened to support the Donbas when the West ignored their human rights and lied about the Minsk Agreement. The West has been usurped by the Neocons and we are to wage war all for their hatred and theories. It has been John McCain who constantly pushed NATO eastward right to the board of Russia violating every agreement to the contrary. Putin has pointed out that Germany is still occupied by the United States and it does as it is told like a monkey obeying its master.







Indeed, one would think that Europe had learned its lesson after two world wars. Perhaps they are just fascinated with the number three. They seem to believe in the old saying *"Third time's the charm."* All I can suppose is they seem to be relying on the Old English law wherein anyone who survived three hangings would be set free. This seems to date back to the story of John "Babbacombe" Lee, who was convicted of murder. He was sentenced to hang at Exeter prison, but all three attempts to hang him failed. He was then set free. Perhaps this time they think that they can conquer Russia and all its riches.

The Neocons have seized control of American foreign policy and they have the perfect man in the White House who will say anything and sign anything they place in front of him. Has Europe merely been subservient to the United States, or do they harbor visions of grandeur left over from Napoleon and Hitler? It was Napoleon who once said: "

***Victory is not always winning the battle...but rising every time you fall."***

You cannot push Russia into a corner and expect to conquer it once and for all with no response. The West is not interested in peace. Europe is indeed the monkey dancing to the tune of the organ grinder being the American Neocons. Europe should also heed Napoleon who also said:

***"Never interrupt your enemy when he is making a mistake."***



### US Hegemony and Its Perils

2023-02-20 16:28

#### US Hegemony and Its Perils

February 2023

The American Neocons have been directing American foreign policy. They have been relentless. They objected to President Ronald Reagan meeting with Gorbachev in 1985 and he rejected their arguments. President Biden does whatever they tell him. Instead of seeking peace and refuses to meet with Putin. China has objected publicly to the American Foreign Policy published by their Minister of Foreign Affairs of the People's Republic of China. They began writing:

*Since becoming the world's most powerful country after the two world wars and the Cold War, the United States has acted more boldly to interfere in the internal affairs of other countries, pursue, maintain and abuse hegemony, advance subversion and infiltration, and willfully wage wars, bringing harm to the international community.*

*The United States has developed a hegemonic playbook to stage "color revolutions," instigate regional disputes, and even directly launch wars under the guise of promoting democracy, freedom and human rights. Clinging to the Cold War mentality, the United States has ramped up bloc politics and stoked conflict and confrontation. It has overstretched the concept of national security, abused export controls and forced unilateral sanctions upon others. It has taken a selective approach to international law and rules, utilizing or discarding them as it sees fit, and has sought to impose rules that serve its own interests in the name of upholding a "rules-based international order."*

*This report, by presenting the relevant facts, seeks to expose the U.S. abuse of hegemony in the political, military, economic, financial, technological and cultural fields, and to draw greater international attention to the perils of the U.S. practices to world peace and stability and the well-being of all peoples.*

***1. Political Hegemony -- Throwing Its Weight Around***

*The United States has long been attempting to mold other countries and the world order with its own values and political system in the name of promoting democracy and human rights.*

While many within the United States have blamed much of this on the CIA. Even the Gallup Poll of Americans, in 1975 81% believed others were involved not just Oswald. Then as the 50th anniversary of President John F. Kennedy's assassination approached; Gallup still found that "a clear majority of Americans (61%) still believe others besides Lee Harvey Oswald were involved." Some recent discoveries have revealed that the CIA engaged in a clandestine phone tap operation that was so deeply classified that the CIA resorted to extraordinary measures to conceal it even from the Justice Department as the department prepared a major report on the Kennedy assassination.<sup>3</sup>

China concluded its assessment of the Neocon policies of the United States:

**Conclusion**

*While a just cause wins its champion wide support, an unjust one condemns its pursuer to be an outcast. The hegemonic, domineering, and bullying practices of using strength to intimidate the weak, taking from others by force and subterfuge, and playing zero-sum games are exerting grave harm. The historical trends of peace, development, cooperation, and mutual benefit are unstoppable. The United States has been overriding truth with its power and trampling justice to serve self-interest. These unilateral, egoistic and regressive hegemonic practices have drawn growing, intense criticism and opposition from the international community.*

*Countries need to respect each other and treat each other as equals. Big countries should behave in a manner befitting their status and take the lead in pursuing a new model of state-to-state relations featuring dialogue and partnership, not confrontation or alliance. China opposes all forms of hegemonism and power politics, and rejects interference in other countries' internal affairs. The United States must conduct serious soul-searching. It must critically examine what it has done, let go of its arrogance and prejudice, and quit its hegemonic, domineering and bullying practices.*

There is no doubt that the Foreign Policy usurped by these Neocons has indeed lowered the respect for the United States internally as well as internationally. Yet,

---

<sup>3</sup> <https://centerforpolitics.org/crystalball/articles/jfk-records-reveal-intense-level-of-secrecy-by-cia-during-investigation-of-assassination/>

## Russia & German Gas Relationship 51.6 Years?

until this is examined, understood, and revealed to the American citizens with the full light of transparency, the risk of World War III looms overhead and will wipe out the future expectations of everyone on the planet.




NATO was formed to defend Europe against the USSR. Since the fall of Communism, there has been no indication whatsoever that the Russian people would support their government to invade Europe. Yet NATO needs to keep painting Russia as the evil empire or in truth, it has outlived its purpose. To simply survive, NATO has not only supported the Ukrainian War, it is also pushing to now interfere in Asia. China has made the case that NATO is also creating conflicts.

What is very clear is that NATO is now considering what they pretend is a defensive move which is an “**Article 5 conflict**” but also an “**out-of-area**” battle. This is showing that NATO is no longer what it was supposed to have been – the defense of Europe against a Soviet invasion that never took place. The “**out-of-area**” is none other than China invading Taiwan. NATO is simply usurping power that it was never authorized to carry out. This **Article 5** event is an attack on a NATO member, whereas the “**out-of-area**” is a non-NATO member for which it was never empowered.



NATO has always billed itself as a “**defensive alliance**” but it has embarked on an **offensive posture** with Ukraine and now even Taiwan. Don't forget, NATO joined the hostilities in Yugoslavia during the 1990s and again with Libya in 2011. Many have viewed that NATO has been usurped by the American Neocons to further their agenda of manipulating US foreign policy. That is becoming self-evident by even considering war with China and Russia. The US has demanded that Brussels now orders that everyone must chip in 2% of GDP – ASAP. The US has usurped NATO to now wage World War III and two fronts when NATO has no authorization to wage war in Asia.

You **NEVER** go to war without weighing the gains against the losses. What do we stand to gain from World War III? The average person will only lose. The soldier, his greatest possible gain is to return home unharmed. The gains and losses are only in the minds of the Neocons. What would they do if they actually defeated Russia,




China, North Korea, and Iran? Who would they then hate? History warns when there is no external enemy, humanity will always turn in on itself. Thus, so is the fate of every nation to rise and then fall.

Every civilization believed they were different. They all thought they were the exception and would last forever. The Roman Emperor Philip I (244–249AD) proudly

issued coins commemorating 1,000 years of the Roman Empire. Nevertheless, Rome fell as did every civilization before it. Athens, the jewel of intellect, philosophy, and art, simply because too arrogant and was hated by many states it demanded tribute from to protect them from any possible invasion of the Persians which never came much like NATO and the US today.

The roads of victory that were travelled by so many to pay homage and tribute to the all powerful civilization of their day lie in ruins just a lingering memory about visions of greatest that fell to the march of time and circumstance.





What is taking place is the very same bankers that tried to take over Russia I wrote about with the blessing of the Clinton Administration in the 2000 ***Plot to Seize Russia***, are back after 23 years. They are pleading to wage war and are licking their lips once again at seizing all the natural resources of Russia. They want all the gold, diamonds, energy, and uranium. They also know that this Climate Change was introduced by the Neocons to undermine the Russian economy. The problem this time is rather stark. They may not survive their own greed at the end of this facade.

*Pax Romana*



Vespasian

(69-79AD)

[ArmstrongEconomics.COM](http://ArmstrongEconomics.COM)

As I have warned, World Peace is attainable if we just look at Rome. After conquering various states, what kept them together? It was economics. Once everyone shared a common market, then the people benefited by manufacturing products and selling them to everyone else in the empire – a free market without tariffs or trade restrictions.

We have taken the opposite approach adopting the theories of Karl Marx worrying about local jobs rather than the best prices for the consumer. It does not pay for the people to impose trade barriers so someone can grow a head of lettuce in the desert and sell it for \$25 instead of \$1. If another nation can produce a product at half the cost, that is not saving a local job, it is exploiting everyone else in the economy to pay higher prices for something that could be obtained far less. These protectionist policies reduced the standard of living for the whole – it does not advance society.

“I have been  
too fond of war”


[ArmstrongEconomics.COM](http://ArmstrongEconomics.COM)



King Louis XIV (1643 - 1715)

When people in Russia and China are linked economically with the consumers in America, then the governments are **LESS LIKELY** to push for war when that will undermine their people and their economic power. Blowing everyone else up seems to be fun for politicians. However, like King Louis XIV, our politicians may also lament on their deathbeds that just maybe they too loved war too much.

History is our guidebook to the future. Rome has shown us the way to peace. We ignore the lessons from history at our own peril. Wars are never created by the people. They are instigated by those in power and we are the fools who gain nothing other than to return unharmed to a family and home that are still standing.



# Reagan's Economic War Against the USSR



**Leonid I. Brezhnev**  
(1906 - 1982)

*General Secretary of the Soviet Union  
(October 14, 1964 - November 10, 1982)*



**Ronald W. Reagan**  
(1911 - 2004)


*President of the United States  
January 20, 1981 - January 20, 1989*

In May 1982, President Ronald Reagan launched an economic war on the Soviet Union when Leonid Brezhnev (1906–1982) was the head of the USSR. On the 14<sup>th</sup> of October 1964, Brezhnev replaced Khrushchev as General Secretary of the Communist Party staging a conspiracy for a coup largely because he almost drove the world to nuclear war with the Cuban Missile Crisis.

Beginning in March 1964, Supreme Soviet presidium chairman and thus nominal head of state Leonid Brezhnev began plotting Khrushchev's removal with his colleagues. Brezhnev had originally considered having Khrushchev actually arrested for treason. Cooler heads prevailed and it was decided that persuading members of the Central Committee to support the ousting of Khrushchev was the better choice to avoid civil war. Khrushchev was absent from Moscow all together between January and September 1964. This allowed the dissent to fester inside Moscow.



## Reagan's Economic War Against the USSR



Leonid Ilyich Brezhnev

Nikita Sergeyevich Khrushchev

On October 12<sup>th</sup>, 1964, Leonid Brezhnev called Nikita Khrushchev to notify him of a special Presidium meeting to be held the following day on agriculture. By then, Khrushchev had been warned that the real reason for the meeting was to remove him from office. He then flew to Moscow in a desperate effort to head off what was amounting to be a coup. He

was accompanied by the head of the Georgian KGB, General Aleksii Inauri.

When Khrushchev landed at the Vnukovo Airport, the KGB chairman Semichastny was waiting with a team of KGB security guards. Semichastny informed Khrushchev then and there that he was no longer the head of state and that he should not resist. Khrushchev complied and the coup was complete that easily. Khrushchev had previously conserved Semichastny was a friend. Thus, he was knew he was betrayed and there was no point in resisting. Khrushchev was then taken to the Kremlin, where Brezhnev, Suslov and Shelepin yelled at him to make it known he was out of power.

Brezhnev became the new head of state but he initially led the Soviet Union as part of a triumvirate alongside Premier Alexei Kosygin (1904–1980) and Presidium Chairman Nikolai Podgorny (1903–1983). However, by the 1970s, Brezhnev consolidated power to become the regime's undisputed leader. In 1977, Brezhnev officially replaced later Podgorny as Chairman of the Presidium. It was during 1982 when Reagan began his assault economically against the USSR. Brezhnev died shortly thereafter on November 10<sup>th</sup>, 1982.

## Russian Triumvirate



Nikolai V. Podgorny  
(1903 – 1983)



Leonid Brezhnev  
(1906–1982)



Alexei N. Kosygin  
(1904 – 1980)

## Reagan's Economic War Against the USSR



When Reagan first came to office, he adopted a very balanced pragmatic approach that was flexible to East-West trade relationship. Then in 1981, Reagan reversed course and chose to implement a strategy he had been sold by the Neocons within his administration to undermine the economy of the USSR.

Reagan's son, Michael Reagan, came out in 2014 and told the Obama Administration that they should look at how his father handled Russia.

*"I suggest that President Obama might want to study how Ronald Reagan defeated the Soviet Union.*

*"He did it without firing a shot, as we know, but he had a super weapon — oil.*

*"Oil was the only thing the Soviets had in the 1980s that anyone in the rest of the world wanted to buy, besides ICBMs and H-bombs, and they weren't for sale.*

*"Since selling oil was the source of the Kremlin's wealth, my father got the Saudis to flood the market with cheap oil.*

*"Lower oil prices devalued the ruble, causing the USSR to go bankrupt, which led to perestroika and Mikhail Gorbachev and the collapse of the Soviet Empire."*

In particular, this new approach was aimed at undermining West European cooperation on a Soviet natural gas exports to the West in order to limit Soviet hard currency earnings in hopes of crippling the Soviet economy and Moscow's

## Reagan's Economic War Against the USSR

ability to wage the Cold War. It was Reagan's about-face that threw the Atlantic alliance into crisis as the West Europeans refused to sacrifice their own economic interests to cooperate with the United States. By the end of the year, U.S. allies forced the Reagan administration to abandon its confrontational economic strategy and policies.

Alexander M. Haig, Jr.: 1981–1982 was Secretary of State and was one of the players during the Cold War. For some fifty years, Haig had a front row seat from the Korean and Vietnamese Wars to the *de tentione* era under Richard Nixon. He became Ronald Reagan's Secretary of State during what became Reagan's Deep Freeze



Alexander M. Haig Jr.

(1924 – 2010)

59th United States Secretary of State under Ronald Reagan  
In office January 22, 1981 – July 5, 1982



Caspar W. Weinberger  
(1917–2006)

15th US Secretary of Defense under Reagan  
In office January 21, 1981 – November 23, 1987

during the Cold War of Reagan's first term. Haig did not have a desk job all the time. He was also a decorated combat soldier. He was also a member of Robert McNamara's staff, and later became Henry Kissinger's deputy. Haig had also commanded NATO during the late seventies. Caspar W. Weinberger (1917–2006) served from 1981 until 1987 as Secretary of Defense under Ronald Reagan.

Weinberger was one of the more moderate Neocons who argued against cutbacks in US military budgets using money for social programs pushed by the Democrats. In his book, *The Next War*, writing with Peter Schweizer, president of the James Madison Institute at the time, Weinberger set forth several scenarios of the next war on the horizon.

## Reagan's Economic War Against the USSR

Weinberger pointed to the belligerency of North Korea he saw in league at the time with then the Communist China seeking to take the South. He also saw the next conflict would be the inevitable confrontation between America and Iran hell bent on becoming the Middle East's dominant power. Of course, the Western powers removed Iran's archenemy Saddam Hussein in the Gulf War which made no sense.

Naturally, Weinberger considered Russia on his list of enemies and in typical Neocon fashion claimed that ultranationalist leaders would launch war in 2006 to start extending Slavic Supremacy throughout Western Europe.

Also on his list was the rising leftist attitude in Mexico and that America would have to square off against a Japan seeking to regain its declining trade fortunes by reestablishing a Greater East Asia company. They all seemed to be more of the foundation for the next Tom Clancy thriller.



Yet there is something seriously missing in all of these scenarios – the will of the people. Wars are indeed created only by political leaders. The Russian people no more support such a war than the American people. Harry Patch, the last surviving veteran from World War I who is 111 years old, had the best suggestion for war.

***"I felt then, as I feel now, that the politicians who took us to war should have been given the guns and told to settle their differences themselves, instead of organizing nothing better than legalized mass murder."***



## Reagan's Economic War Against the USSR

At the Nuremberg trials, Field Marshall Hermann Wilhelm Göring (1893–1946) explained why the people followed Hitler.



Field Marshall Hermann Wilhelm Göring  
(1893–1946)

*“Why, of course, the people don't want war. Why would some poor slob on a farm want to risk his life in a war when the best that he can get out of it is to come back to his farm in one piece. Naturally, the common people don't want war; neither in Russia nor in England nor in America, nor for that matter in Germany. That is understood.*

*But, after all, it is the leaders of the country who determine the policy and it is always a simple matter to drag the people along, whether it is a democracy or a fascist dictatorship or a Parliament or a Communist dictatorship.”*

With respect to a Democracy, they argued against *Göring* claiming that the people have some say in the matter through their elected representatives, and in the United States, only Congress can declare wars.


Göring replied: *“Oh, that is all well and good, but, voice or no voice, the people can always be brought to the bidding of the leaders. That is easy. All you have to do is tell them they are being attacked and denounce the pacifists for lack of patriotism and exposing the country to danger. It works the same way in any country.”*



Many people assumed that Germans were just different. To test that theory, Stanley Milgram (1900–1900) conducted experiments with actors randomly taking people of the street and telling them to give electric shocks every time the subject answered incorrectly.

To his shock, people would torture others and it did not matter the culture of nation.

The experiments of Stanley Milgram show that people would torture another if ordered to do so. He called his discovery, Obedience to Authority. Indeed, during COVID, people mindlessly wore masked whereas had they simply Googled the subject, even the Washington Post had run an article on how masked were “useless” during the Spanish Flu.



Retropolis

## Everyone wore masks during the 1918 flu pandemic. They were useless.




No one was permitted to ride a streetcar in Seattle without wearing a mask during the 1918 flu pandemic. (Library of Congress)

Indeed, the Washington Post even wrote “In 1918, with a different virus, it [Masks] didn't help.” Fauci himself co-authored a study of the 1918 pandemic. The 2008 study, which Fauci did co-author, explains that the influenza virus destroyed cells that line the bronchial tubes and lungs. That is what created a pathway for bacteria that normally inhabit the nose and throat to invade the lungs and cause bacterial pneumonia causing the deaths – not the virus.



What we saw was mindless individual obeying authority to the point they were driving by themselves in a car wearing masks. Even to this day, long after the COVID restrictions have been lifted, you still see some people wearing masks falsely believing that they offer any protection whatsoever. It is not hard to see how leaders can do as Göring said, convince them some opponent must be stopped and thus they are willing to die on a battlefield because they are simply obeying authority. They are told they are fighting for God and country when in fact it is nothing more than the will of the political elites.

## Reagan's Economic War Against the USSR



**Ronald W. Reagan**  
(1911 – 2004)  
*40th President of the United States*  
(January 20, 1981 – January 20, 1989)

Despite the Neocons, during the early 1980s, the Cold War between the United States and the Soviet Union had once again reached a serious uptrend. Tensions between the two superpowers were at an all-time high. Both sides were armed with nuclear weapons, and the threat of a nuclear war loomed over the world.

Nikita S. Khrushchev (1894–1971) was the head of the Soviet Union from 1953 to 1964 following the death of Stalin in 1953. He was removed in a coup led by Leonid Brezhnev (1906–1982) who served as General Secretary of the Communist Party of the Soviet Union between 1964 and 1982. Upon his death, Yuri V. Andropov (1914–1984) became the leader of the Soviet Union from November 1982 until his death in February 1984.

This is when Konstantin U. Chernenko (1911–1985) became the new leader, the 7th General Secretary of the Communist Party. He also only briefly led the Soviet Union from 13 February 1984 until his death on 10 March 1985. This is when Mikhail S. Gorbachev (1931–2022) came to power.

In the midst of this tense and dangerous period, President Ronald Reagan launched a secret war to save the world from nuclear disaster. This war was fought not with weapons, but with words and diplomacy, and it was one of the most important battles in the history of the Cold War.

Reagan believed that the best way to prevent a nuclear war was to negotiate with the Soviet Union and to build a relationship of trust and cooperation. He believed that the only way to



**Mikhail S. Gorbachev**  
(1931 – 2022)  
*8th Leader of USSR 1985 - 1991*

## Reagan's Economic War Against the USSR

achieve this was through direct talks with Soviet leaders, and he was determined to make this happen.

The road to these talks was long and difficult. Reagan faced opposition from many in his own government, who believed that the Soviet Union was an implacable



The Boston Globe - Oct 16th, 1983

enemy that could not be trusted. But Reagan was determined to pursue his vision of a world without nuclear weapons, and he persisted in his efforts to build a relationship with the Soviet Union.

On March 23<sup>rd</sup>, 1983, the President Reagan announced the next stage of his plan: the Strategic Defense Initiative (SDI). In a broadcast to the nation, Reagan explained that the SDI, which later became known as his Star Wars program, would see the development of anti-ballistic missiles that could shoot down weapons in space.

The Democrats opposed and constantly claimed it was a waste of money and would never work. The leftist press, like the Boston Globe, said once we committed to

it and the weapons failed, "the nation will be less secure in the end." The Democrats and their leftist press were undermining the very strategy that would bring down the USSR. The quickly dubbed it "**Star Wars.**" The Democrats were only interested in politics and turned it into a controversial proposal. They never cared about the real objective whereby Reagan believed that it was an essential step towards reducing the threat of nuclear war.

The Democrats just could not understand Reagan's strategy and publicly undermined him every chance they got. Reagan's efforts to negotiate with the Soviet Union by no means were always successful. The change in leadership did not help. Just during Reagan's first term, there was Brezhnev, Andropov, Chernenko, and finally in 1985 came Gorbachev.



## Reagan's Economic War Against the USSR

Reagan simply believed against all advice from the Neocons, the Democrats, and Republicans, that it was pointless to try to negotiate with Russians. Yet, he never gave up. Finally, when Gorbachev came to power in 1985, this proved to be a major turning point in US-Soviet relations.



The major turning point came with the Geneva Summit of 1985 between Reagan and Gorbachev which took place November 19 and 20, 1985. The two leaders met for the first time to hold talks on international diplomatic relations and the arms race. Reagan was determined to reduce the threat of nuclear war against the recommendations of the Neocons and many on Capitol Hill. This was the first direct meeting between a U.S. president and a Soviet leader in six years. Reagan realized that only a face-to-face meeting would build trust to achieve his goals.


Reagan and Gorbachev met several more times over the course of the next few years. Interestingly, our computer had correctly forecast the fall of Communism 72 years from the birth of the USSR in 1917. It was critical that Reagan and Gorbachev developed a personal level of trust that was the major step to reduce tensions between the two superpowers. Over the course of these years, they signed a number of agreements thereby reducing the number of nuclear weapons, but they also negotiated an end to the war in Afghanistan which began in 1980.

## Reagan's Economic War Against the USSR

There were many who criticized Reagan calling him naive to believe that the Soviet Union could ever be trusted. They portrayed Reagan as just a state governor who did not understand Washington no less world politics. The Neocons argued that the only path to peace was the threat of nuclear war – not reducing nuclear weapons. They rejected Reagan's belief that building a relationship of trust with the USSR would reverse the direction of nuclear threats.

They ridiculed Reagan's 1987 agreement when Gorbachev signed the Intermediate-Range Nuclear Forces Treaty. Reagan adopted an old Russian saying – ***Trust by Verify***. The Neocons were not supportive in the least.

It was that first step taken by Ronald Reagan that set-in motion a relationship between the United States and the Soviet Union that began to glimmer with both



John Sidney McCain III  
(1936–2018)

Russia is a gas station  
masquerading as a country.

hope and commerce. Various agreements followed with Reagan's main objective to reduce the number of nuclear weapons. The Neocons continued to put forth that Gorbachev was not trustworthy yet it was a true

testament to Ronald Reagan who was not a career politician who had a different vision of the future that the Neocons could not see.

When John McCain (1936–2018) was running for president, he ran ads talking about how Reagan had inspired him. McCain announced his candidacy for president on September 27, 1999 for the 2000 election. However, John McCain never really embraced Reagan's policies.

Both Gorbachev and Reagan wanted a summit to discuss the Cold War, but each faced strong opposition from their respective governments which has once again resurfaced.

Reagan at a press conference in Moscow in 1988, said that he played in the great drama of the late 20th century. He described himself essentially as a supporting actor. "Mr. Gorbachev," he said, "deserves most of the credit, as the leader of this country."



History allotted a relatively short term to Perestroika (“restructuring” in Russian). It was on May 8<sup>th</sup>, 1985, just two months after coming to power, when Mikhail Gorbachev delivered a speech in St. Petersburg, in which he publicly criticized the inefficient economic system of the Soviet Union. He became the first Communist leader to question the economic consequences of communism. He concluded his speech saying:

*“Today, on the day of the anniversary memorable to all of us, I should like to repeat once more: The Soviet Union resolutely comes out for a world without wars, for a world without weapons. We state again and again that the outcome of the historical competition between the two systems cannot be solved by military means.”*

Reagan listened while the Neocons judged Gorbachev by their own relentless duplicities. By February 1986, Gorbachev took on both the political and economic restructuring calling for a new era of transparency and openness, or glasnost. The Soviet Union Collapsed on December 25<sup>th</sup>, 1991, just slightly more than 7 years. The first meeting between Reagan and Gorbachev took place in November 1985 against the advice on both sides.

In 2006, Mikhail Gorbachev, lamented over the rise of the Neocons increasing the tension within the US-Russian relations. He made it clear that Russia would not be consigned by Washington to the role of “junior partner” on the world stage. In his article that appeared in the government daily Rossyskaya Gazeta, Gorbachev warned in 2006 that there had appeared “several worrying trends in relations between the United States and Russia” ranging from the Middle East security to Russian democracy and influence in former Soviet republics. He singled out John

## Reagan's Economic War Against the USSR

McCain, the leader of the American Neocons, who always spoke about Russia as the enemy that smacked of the previous Cold War-style rhetoric. McCain was always seeking to undermine everything that Reagan accomplished with Gorbachev. This has set the stage for World War III today. Gorbachev wrote:

*"I can say with full responsibility that the policy of dialogue and cooperation taken by the Russian and US presidents is supported by the political and social circles of our countries."*

*"But recently the worry has arisen: Will this policy continue?"*

Gorbachev warned that the optimism that had swept the world during the 1990s about the warm relations between Russia and the United States had been replaced by nothing but disillusionment. He continued:

*"At the same time, the United States reserved the role for itself in the international arena as prosecutor, judge and policeman. This could not but have been rejected, and not only by Russia."*

The trust that was created by Reagan was lost – just a fleeting moment in history in the passage of time and circumstance. Gorbachev rejected especially John McCain who claimed that President Vladimir Putin was rolling democracy back in Russia. He added:

*"Russia is only starting down the road of democratic reform, but it will not stray from this road. President Putin does not want this and he will not establish an authoritarian regime in Russia."*

Gorbachev spoke out again, which was reported on November 9th, 2014 by Reuters. He warned in a speech in Berlin that East-West tensions over the Ukraine crisis were threatening to push the world into a new Cold War, 25 years after the fall of the Berlin Wall.

*"The Cold War rhetoric and even the terrorism rhetoric are becoming old hat," "The mood of the American public seems to be one where there is a sense that talking is probably better than fighting."*

Indeed, history rejects the rhetoric of John McCain and his Neocon followers. Richard Nixon was not weak because he went to China. Ronald Reagan was not weak because he met with Gorbachev. Both men sought peace rather than confrontation and war. The power of strategic diplomacy and the importance of building relationships of trust and cooperation were the greatest steps toward

## Reagan's Economic War Against the USSR

peace. The Neocons only see war fought with weapons, and reject words and ideas of a future. To the Neocons, they have failed to produce any benefit to society and only further their own hatred and resentment that lead to not just a perpetual Cold War, but ultimately nuclear confrontation.

Today, Reagan's legacy seems to have vanished from the lessons taught by history. The Cold War has been reestablished under the Biden Administration resurrected by Hillary Clinton who created the fake RussiaGate investigation all to blame her political loss of Putin. Instead of Reagan's vision of a world without nuclear weapons, the Neocons have offered only a future dominated by hatred, distrust, and animosity that will lead to war and desolation for humanity. What drives these people to embrace such hatred is in itself a mystery. But they seem to always desire to grab the reigns of power on both sides of a dispute.




Ronald Reagan and Mikhail Gorbachev were once an inspiration to people all over the world. Their efforts to abandon the days of the Cold War have been forgotten and their pages in history have been torn out to ensure they will never again be attempted. The goal of world peace is no longer fashionable and the pursuit of global security has once again

vanished from all discussion. The once daunting challenges that these two men overcame with persistence, determination, and a commitment to working towards a common goal, have been relegated to the past.

Both Reagan and Gorbachev overcame their respective Neocons to try to create a bright new future of cooperation. That legacy underscored the importance of leadership in times of crisis which we are today seriously lacking. Both Reagan and Gorbachev were not afraid to take bold and controversial steps in pursuit of his vision, even when he faced opposition and criticism from many in his own government. He was a leader who was willing to take risks, and his willingness to pursue direct talks with the Soviet Union was a testament to his faith in the power of diplomacy and negotiation.

# Economic Confidence Model™

## Gorbachev Speech May 8th, 1985



From Gorbachev's speech of May 8<sup>th</sup>, 1985, it was just 4.3 years until the collapse of Communism and just about 6 years before the attempted coup to overthrow Gorbachev on August 19<sup>th</sup>, 1991. Thanks to the Neocons on both sides, the peace envisioned by these two men proved to be fragile for just as the Russian Neocons sought to overthrow Gorbachev, the American Neocons led by John McCain did everything in their power to undermine any peace accord.

As Politico pointed out in there August 12<sup>th</sup>, 2008 piece: *"Indeed, McCain, who publicly confronted Putin in Munich last year, may be the most visible — and now potentially influential — American antagonist of Russia."* The quoted others who pointed out that no matter what the fact, McCain never changed his opinion of Russia. All he ever saw was war.

*"This is a guy who grew up in the Cold War, was a military person and an honorable man, but has not changed his ways of thinking about Russia."*

## POLITICO

### John McCain's long war on Russia



McCain publicly confronted Putin in Munich last year and may be the most visible American antagonist of Russia.

## Reagan's Economic War Against the USSR

In the end, Reagan and Gorbachev's remarkable achievement was undermined by the Neocons on both sides. All they have seen is hate and all the Declassified Documents from the Clinton Administration have confirmed that McCain refused to believe anything and maintained his grandstanding constructed on the hatred that prolonged the Cold War. Even McCain's pretend push for Climate Change was only to push for nuclear power to undermine the Russia economy which he would always simply call it a gas station masquerading as a country. To McCain and all his Neocon followers, they never sought peace, but only hatred, war, and bloodshed.

The Neocons opposed Reagan's Cold War tactics. Yet he saw the weakness in the Russian façade in the July 24<sup>th</sup>, 1959 Nixon-Khrushchev Kitchen debate. Then Vice President Richard Nixon and Soviet leader Nikita Khrushchev engaged in a heated debate about the respective merits of capitalism and communism. It took place during the opening



ceremony of the American National Exhibition in Moscow. It was this confrontation, that became the iconic event in the Cold War contrasting the stark difference between the two economic systems. This took place in a model U.S. kitchen that had been set up for the Moscow fair.


Regan no doubt saw communism as a symbolic result of Marxism that was the core of the conservative fears about big government. Yet, Reagan saw in Gorbachev's May 8<sup>th</sup>, 1985 speech room for change. The Neocons only judges Gorbachev and all Russians by their own hatred that was unyielding. Regan came from a different perspective that whereas the intense hatred of the Neocons could never see. Regan crafted to the Neocons a high-risk, yet there was no risk to simply talking. Reagan could see there was a chance for a grand strategy to influence change within the Soviet system for it simply could not compete with the freedom of capitalism. Without the ability to be curious and explore new ideas, the people were prevented from inventing anything to ever advance society no less raise the standard of living.

## Reagan's Economic War Against the USSR

Reagan's confrontation with the Soviet Union was strategic despite the lack of support from the Neocons. His Star Wars proposal would have neutralized the nuclear weapons of Russia thereby reducing them to a third world power whose economy was declining. It was Reagan's fortitude and looking beyond merely staging wars as advocated by the Neocons to embrace a new ideological, and geopolitical competition with the Soviet Union and communism. It only took four years from Gorbachev's speech to bring down the communist economic system.

With the opening of the archives and declassification of government documents from the Reagan Administration, we are at last able to see Reagan's practical approach to problems as well as his ability to engage Soviet leader Mikhail Gorbachev and to reject the advice of the Neocons. When Reagan was first elected, Iran held American hostages. Reagan was seen as a hawk and all world leaders expected perhaps a Neocon but saw Reagan turn his back on the Neocons who objected to any negotiating with Moscow.

Ronald Reagan's son, Michael, wrote ***Democrats Secretly Worked With Russia to Oppose My Dad*** on December 16<sup>th</sup>, 2016 in response to Hillary's claims that Russia interfered in her election. He wrote that it all came out as reported that Herbert Romerstein (1931–2013) was an American ex-communist and historian who dug through the Soviet archives after the fall of the USSR. What he uncovered was shocking – secret documents written by KGB agent Victor Chebrikov. The documents revealed that Senator Edward “Ted” Kennedy had sent a friend, former Senator John Tunney of California, to contact the KGB. Tunney's mission: ***undermine then-President Jimmy Carter.***



Pittsburgh Post-Gazette, Pittsburgh, PA - Jan 6th, 1986

On March 5<sup>th</sup>, 1980, when Ted Kennedy was then challenging Jimmy Carter in the primaries, Tunney actually met with the KGB and urged the Soviets to sabotage Carter's foreign policy efforts. Michael Reagan wrote:



## Reagan's Economic War Against the USSR

*"It's amazing: Two high-ranking Democrats — a sitting U.S. senator and a former senator — sought Soviet help in undermining American foreign policy and manipulating an American election."*



The Stuart News, Stuart, Florida - Aug 19th, 1989 - Romerstein at Heritage Foundation

Indeed, Herbert Romerstein poured through the KGB files and revealed even how Stalin helped Hitler launch World War II. Michael Reagan continued with his review of Romerstein's revelations and explained that one document even stated that Kennedy *"offered to condemn President Carter's policy toward the Soviet occupation of Afghanistan in exchange for KGB help."* News accounts of that period prove that Kennedy did, in fact, openly criticize Carter's Afghanistan policy.

Michael also explained that the documents uncovered showed that even then

*"President Carter himself was also willing to jump into bed with the Soviets. In the closing days of the 1980 presidential campaign, while trailing Ronald Reagan in the polls, Jimmy Carter sent a political ally, industrialist Armand Hammer, to a secret meeting with Soviet ambassador Anatoly Dobrynin at the embassy in Washington. Hammer asked the Soviets to help Carter win votes in key states by allowing Jewish "refuseniks" to emigrate to Israel. The Soviets rejected Hammer's request."*

When it comes to politics, all is fair as they say in love and war. The Neocons, on the other hand, are more like obsessed zealots who cannot see any other way blinded by their own hatred and intent to impose their version of government upon the entire world. Their central theme has been to overthrow any form of government other than a republic that they pretend is really a democracy.


In the Middle East, they put forward that overthrowing the dictators and the religious leaders as in Iran of the Shiites, would allow the introduction of their watered-down version of "democracy" that would strength the security of Israel and guarantee peace. Their theories have never been proven even once.



Their scheme to take over Russia post-1991 came with the rise of the Oligarchs and was forged in 1996 at the Davos meeting of the World Economic Conference in Geneva. That is when Berezovsky led a group of oligarchs to rig the Russian election to ensure the reelection of Yeltsin which stood at only about a 10% approval rating at the time. It was at that same meeting when William Felix Browder attended and he then convinced Edmond Safra to join in and fund his Hermitage Capital Management company to allegedly invest exclusively in Russian companies exploiting the new free market in Russia.

Edmond Safra who was the center of what was called the Money Plane scandal sending skids of \$100 bills to Russia. Safra invested \$25 million providing the seed capital for Browder's Hermitage Capital Management making Safra's Republic National Bank of New York the controlling partner. I was first solicited to invest in


this fund and I declined. I warned Safra that my model was forecasting the collapse of Russia in 1998. He laughed and disagreed because they believed, as usual, they had the fix in.



"If more Americans come to perceive, like Martin Armstring, that western Europe is threatened on its doorstep by a kind of indonesia bristling with nuclear weapons, they might take their money home."


June 27th, 1998

We held our World Economic Conference in London in June 1998. Barry Riley of the London Financial Times came in and reported on the front page of the second section that we were forecasting the collapse of Russia in about 30 days. That became the Long-Term Capital Management collapse in September 1998.



The collapse of LTCM illustrated the problem that I have been warning about – everything is connected. The collapse came within weeks of the turning point on the ECM – July 20th, 1998. The US share market peaked precisely that day. The crisis in LTCM was in fact that they were at least traders. They had positions in everything, Once Russia collapsed, they needed cash and they started to sell other positions that had nothing to do with Russia just to get liquidity. This is why you cannot forecast **ANYTHING** in isolation or look at a simple one-dimensional cause and effect. It is far more complicated than that.

The bankers were constantly trying to get me to join their schemes. When the banks would lose, they blamed me, claiming I had too much influence since they greased the palms of politicians and agencies, including the International Monetary Fund (IMF). A few months before, Safra had planned a big dinner for the IMF in Washington, DC renting the entire National Gallery. I was invited to show me that they had the IMF in their back pocket. You see, the way they operated was always to bribe their way to the land of the guaranteed trade.



## Reagan's Economic War Against the USSR



Browder admitted later that he lost 90% in his Hermitage Capital Management Fund in his YouTube Video.<sup>4</sup> I was concerned about the bank, but kept being told they were alright. They were then trying to get me to invest \$10 billion into this Hermitage Capital Management fund without disclosing they had just lost 90% of their capital.

This all became part of the Plot to Seize Russia. The scheme was to blackmail Yeltsin into stepping down in July 1999 and handing the head of Russia to Boris Berezovsky who


was in league with this whole scheme. The Clintons were on board for Hillary was a closet Neocon. The Clintons who had been in league with Safra's Republic National Bank sending pallets of \$100 bills to Russia, were keen to let this conspiracy unfold. The NY bankers would seize all the riches of Russia and they were licking their lips at getting all this wealth. When I refused to invest \$10 billion into Hermitage Capital Management, that is when even Boris Berezovsky tried to call me directly.



I believe Hermitage Capital Management was not against corruption. It was fostering corruption. I also met with the producer of the *Magnitsky Act* film in Germany, Andrei Nekrasov, who began the film as an anti-Putin proponent who came to see that he was being used. Andrei saw that the culprit was not Putin, but Browder. When his film turned on the Browder and exposed all the people who mysteriously died that could have testified against the bankers besides Magnitsky, I believe Browder or his lawyers went to the EU government to have the film banned. Even the film on me, the Forecaster, was supposed to be on NETFLIX, and suddenly it too was banned.

<sup>4</sup> <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LdmMvbwQHuw&t=18s>

## Reagan's Economic War Against the USSR



**Boris Abramovich Berezovsky**  
(January 23, 1946 - March 23, 2013)

**Edmond J. Safra**  
(August 6, 1932 - December 3, 1999)

The attempt to blackmail Yeltsin in July 1999 by the New York Bankers with the aid of the Neocons provided the basis of corruption that Safra crafted against for the old hardline communists to use it to also file an impeachment motion. They were seeking to take back Russia and reestablish the USSR. Yeltsin, caught in the middle, turned and shocked everyone by handing power to Vladimir Putin – an unknown at the time who was **NOT** a communist. His last words to Putin were – ***Take care of Russia.*** That only confirmed that McCain and Nuland claiming Putin was a communist who wanted supreme power was propaganda. They hated Putin because their Plot to Seize Russia with the bankers failed.

The Neocons, like Victoria Nuland, put out their propaganda admitting that NATO had first offered to take in Russia as a member. That led to the coup against Gorbachev, which she conveniently leaves out of her *Frontline Interview*<sup>5</sup>. Nuland merely claims “*something changed*” and Putin was then falsely presented as a communist because he was fully aware of their plot.

She claimed Putin rejected NATO because it did not “***suit his leadership model.***” When Putin held that press conference with Trump and the RussiaGate affair began thanks to the fake Steel Dossier of Hillary and John McCain, Putin offered they could come and interview anyone their desired. He requested in return to question Bill Browder who the Neocons were protecting because it might have exposed their plot to seize Russia. Afterall, that was why Yeltsin even turned to Putin in the first place.

---

<sup>5</sup> <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=k9seyqBQ-P4>

## Reagan's Economic War Against the USSR

TRANSCRIPT OF THE ALLOCUTION HEARING  
PURSUANT TO THE GUILTY PLEA OF LUCY  
EDWARDS  
In the United States District Court  
for the Southern District of New York  
February 16, 2002

1 STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

2 ----- X

3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

4 v.  
99 Cr. 914 (SWK)

5 PETER BERLIN, LUCY EDWARDS, BENEX INTERNATIONAL CO.,  
BECIS INTERNATIONAL CO., INC. and LOWLAND, INC.,  
6 Defendants.

7 ----- I

8

9 10 February 16, 2000 10:00 a.m.

11 Before: HON. SHIRLEY WOHL KRAM,  
12 District Judge

13 APPEARANCES: MARY JO WHITE  
United States Attorney for the  
14 Southern District of New York

The plot was all about the bankers convinced Yeltsin to grab \$7 billion from the IMF loans. They got him to wire \$7 billion and steered the wire through a bank that was **NOT** part of the “club” – Bank of New York. As soon as the wire was made, Republic National Bank ran to the Department of Justice to rat them out over money laundering. In the end, the Bank of New York two bankers pled guilty claiming it was a ransom for a Russian businessman. The transcript clearly shows that the judge never even asked who had \$7 billion in cash to begin with. The judge simply says thank you and gives them house arrest for 6 months after the prosecutors said they fully cooperated.

When Safra's Republic National Bank ran to the government, they then blackmailed Yeltsin in July 1999, telling him that he would not run for president in 2000 and he was to appoint Boris Berezovsky. Yeltsin, now realizing he was set up, turned to Putin to save Russia. Putin was made his heir on August 9<sup>th</sup>, 1999 and Edmond Safra fled to Monaco for “security reasons.” I was told that when I wanted to fly to Geneva to meet with Safra on August 28, 1999.

I believe that Edmond Safra was assassinated on December 3<sup>rd</sup>, 1999 by Western Intelligence perhaps a combined effort between the CIA of the USA and MI6 of Britain to ensure that this plot and the Neocons would never surface. They threw me in contempt of court filing just days after Safra's assassination to shut down my case as well. Not a single member of the press asked how can \$1 billion vanish from a bank and nobody knows where it is?


I knew and helped Dominick Dunne (1925–2009) who wrote in *Vanity Fair* in December 2000 – “*Death in Monaco.*” It was just a matter of a couple of weeks after I subpoenaed those phone lines that Safra was murdered in Monaco. Dominick told me that there were bullets in Safra's body.



## Reagan's Economic War Against the USSR

Dominick also told me he was sitting in a restaurant in Paris and someone came up to him and warned him — ***“Be careful what you write about.”*** I met the male nurse who was framed for Safra's murder and who was suddenly released. They simply said he did not receive a fair trial with no other discussion.

Republic National Bank was deeply in cahoots with the Clinton Administration. Besides shipping skids of \$100 bills to Russia, it was the Clintons who also made student loans non-dischargeable in bankruptcy at the request of the bankers. Then the bankers got the parents to co-sign, and suddenly they had the family homes all exempt from bankruptcy. The Clintons handed students to the bankers on a silver platter. It was always just a dog & pony show – just follow the money.



Lilly Safra & Bill Clinton

So, the bankers wanted to take over Russia for all the hard-money assets — gold, oil, platinum, diamonds, etc. and the Neocon dream. This is why the Clintons were supported by the bankers and the idea was with the fall of the Soviet Union in 1991, they would be able to take over Russia with no problem. The Neocons just wanted Russia destroyed, which has been the lifelong dream. The cabal became the Neocons, the NY Bankers, and the corruption in the Clinton Administration in their plot to seize Russia.

As for Boris Berezovsky, he was found dead in his bathtub and his bodyguard said he was probably killed by MI6. For you see, Berezovsky had just written to Putin asking for forgiveness and to be allowed to return to Russia. Had Berezovsky returned to Russia and told the truth about what took place, the Plot to Seize Russia would have been fully exposed then and there. MI6 had way too much to lose.



# RussiaGate & Neocons

## RussiaGate




Moscow, Russia

The term “RussiaGate” emerged with Hillary v Trump whereby Hillary blamed Putin for interfering in her election for what she did not reveal was the conspiracy to interfere in the Russian 2000 election that forced Yeltsin to turn to Putin in the first place.

TIME

POLITICS  
Hillary Clinton Says She Lost Because of James Comey and Vladimir Putin



BY TESSA BERENSON | DECEMBER 16, 2016 12:10 PM EST

Time Magazine published Hillary's comments on December 16<sup>th</sup>, 2016 a few weeks after losing the election. Time reported:

*“On Russia, Clinton said the nation wanted to “undermine our democracy” and that Putin has a “personal beef” with her because she said the 2011 Russian parliamentary elections were rigged.*

*“Putin publicly blamed me for the outpouring of outrage by his own people, and that is the direct line between what he said back then and what he did in this election,” she said.*

The real reason why Hillary blamed Putin was not for her 2011 comment, it was clearly because of their support of Berezovsky and the whole scheme to rig the 2000 Russian Presidential election. All the declassified documents from the Clinton Administration point to behind the curtain shenanigans of Berezovsky and why Yeltsin's last words to Putin were profound: ***“Take care of Russia!”***



## Reagan's Economic War Against the USSR



Russia became an easy scapegoat for Hillary to try to defeat Trump and then to drive him from office as Berezovsky had tried to do to Putin. RussiaGate was supported by John McCain (1936–2018) whose motive was to prevent Trump from even trying to normalize relations with Putin as the Neocons objected to Reagan talking with Gorbachev in 1985. The Neocon were against Reagan from the very beginning in any effort to normalize relations with Russia. McCain was always just a Neocon who simply appeared to hate Russians. He always championed proxy wars against Russia from Afghanistan, Syria, to Ukraine. The sheer death and carnage left behind in the wake of the Neocons to achieve their goal never mattered to any of them.

McCain's involvement for sponsoring the propaganda of the Magnitsky Act for Bill Browder and his full knowledge of the scam to blackmail Yeltsin was just the beginning. The fake Steele Dossier, which was funded by Hillary and the Democrats, he willingly used to create the entire RussiaGate fraud handing it to the FBI knowing full well its deceitful origin. McCain also hated Trump because he would not fund McCain and the Neocon's Ukrainian civil war that was really a proxy war against Russia using Ukrainians as cannon fodder.



## Reagan's Economic War Against the USSR

### POLITICS

Comey Took Notes on Trump Calls But Didn't Record Hillary's Interrogation



McCain and Hillary were Neocons. McCain furthered the scheme to accuse Trump of being a puppet of Putin and conniving against his own party. Not only did McCain hand Hillary's Steele Dossier to James Comey of the FBI, but Comey was allegedly also part of the entire scam. He took notes to incriminate Trump, but never took notes during his interrogation of Hillary in RussiaGate which is standard. Furthermore, in my own case, it was Comey who was the head prosecutor in the

Southern District of New York who held me in contempt for 7 years on a statute that set the maximum sentence of 18 months for Civil Contempt. I was released only when the Supreme Court agreed to take my case.


What surfaced thanks to Special Prosecutor John Durham, was that Hillary Clinton and her top foreign policy advisor, Jake Sullivan, spread a bogus Trump–Russia “collusion” narrative. Hillary's false claims resulted in 70% of Democrats believing that Russia was the enemy according to Pew Research.<sup>6</sup> This fake news set the stage for the hatred of Russia and in the long haul the justification for what the Neocons are now pushing – World War III.

Hillary not only ran a major Soviet-style disinformation campaign against Trump, but she even hired a tech firm to “infiltrate” servers at Trump Tower in Manhattan and at the White House in order to link Donald Trump to Russia. This was up there with Nixon and Watergate, but they don't prosecute the Neocons. It was the Neocons who orchestrated a hate campaign against Trump so he would lose in 2020. Now, the Neocons write the cue cards for Biden as he claims Putin will not use nuclear weapons when in fact, this is a war to conquer Russia necessitating Russia to defend itself.



<sup>6</sup> <https://www.pewresearch.org/global/2022/04/06/seven-in-ten-americans-now-see-russia-as-an-enemy/>

## Reagan's Economic War Against the USSR



What surfaced was that the Special Prosecutor Durham proved that Hillary funded the entire RussiaGate Hoax with John McCain's involvement. Even the Federal Election Commission fined Hillary and the DNC for forging the entire RussiaGate scam.

James Comey manipulated the investigation into Hillary. Comey tried to even exonerate her for a higher crime than raiding Trump's home claiming he had classified documents. What Comey omitted was that there were 110 classified emails when they were transmitted and received via Clinton's personal email server. Hillary set up the private server to exclude it from any Freedom of Information Act requests, which would have been for the State Department emails. She was setting that up to hide her involvement in the entire RussiaGate operation.

Comey claimed her actions rose to "**gross negligence.**" He did not explain the rationale behind this finding. Yet, the Biden Administration wanted to indict Trump for having classified documents at his home that were on the RussiaGate affair. They seem to have been forced to let that go after it came out that Biden still had classified documents at home from his Vice Presidency days.



MEDIA INDUSTRY FEBRUARY 24, 2016 / 4:32 PM / UPDATED 7 YEARS AGO

## Spy agencies say Clinton emails closely matched top secret documents: sources

By Mark Hosenball

5 MIN READ

Reuters reported on February 24<sup>th</sup>, 2016 that:

*"U.S. spy agencies have told Congress that Hillary Clinton's home computer server contained some emails that should have been treated as "top secret" because their wording matched sections of some of the government's most highly classified documents, four sources familiar with the agency reports said."*

Reuters reported that Hillary was copying information classified format that was marked "**Top Secret**" to hide what she was doing proving it was the knowing and intent. Comey did not address that. Reuters reported on July 7<sup>th</sup>, 2016<sup>7</sup>:

*"The Inspector General for the Intelligence Community stated some of the documents were marked at the highest levels, including one about North Korea's nuclear weapons program. At least 47 of the Clinton emails released contain the Freedom of Information Act release exemption B3 CIA PERS/ORG, which indicates the material referred to CIA personnel matters. Some emails show semi-oblique references to CIA staffers, known as "talk arounds," to avoid mentioning a name or position per se."*

Even more curious is that Comey was well aware of the Bank of New York connection to my case and was protecting Hillary and the bankers back then. As I said, I informed Comey's Office that the Bank of New York case led right to Yeltsin at a reverse proffer in April 2000. I even told AUSA Richard Owens and Brian Coad at that session that it was the Russian Minister of Interior who was blocking any leads in that case that would connect back to Yeltsin.

---

<sup>7</sup> <https://www.reuters.com/article/us-clinton-fbi-commentary/commentary-what-the-fbi-didnt-say-about-hillary-clintons-email-idINKCN0ZM1TG>

## Reagan's Economic War Against the USSR

The declassified phone call of September 8<sup>th</sup>, 1998 between Yeltsin and Bill Clinton confirmed that Yeltsin was stating that he was sending over the Minister of Interior to investigate the Bank of New York scandal because it was "political."

In this connection, as always, I will be direct and will state my deep concern over reports in the mass media that there were some attempts to launder money through the Bank of New York with Russian participation. This scandal has a clear political underpinning and motive. We need to jointly and quietly sort it out without heating up these passions. (S)

Our Interior Minister has agreed with the Director of the FBI that on 13 September we will send a high-ranking delegation to Washington to look into that matter, and that delegation will include representatives of the Foreign Intelligence Service, the Minister of the Interior, and the Tax Police. So, now we must let them jointly look into the matter, and subsequently we will work out further initiatives. (S)

Clearly, Comey knew the inside story on both my case, the Bank of New York and I believe even this entire scheme of RussiaGate had his fingerprints all over it by his protection of Hillary and the Neocons. For Comey to take notes just speaking to Trump but not Hillary when she was officially being interrogated, that alone said it all as being very strange, unethical, and most likely illegal.

The question became was Trump the real target of RussiaGate? Perhaps he was collateral damage in the Deep State's ongoing effort led by John McCain to demonize Putin and Russia? McCain hated Trump because he was anti-war.

The Neocons never want world peace. They would lose all purpose in life if there was nobody to stay awake at night thinking about how to destroy them. It was John McCain who had joined the conspiracy with Hillary against Trump handing the Steele Dossier to FBI agent James Comey because Hillary was at least a Neocon. McCain being a Republican, removed any perception that it was partisanship by Hillary. The new conspiracy known as RussiaGate was launched.

There was a lot more at stake than what had ever made the news. The declassified documents from the Clinton Administration clearly shows that there were discussions on how the oligarchs would turn Russia over to the West and assume control by joining NATO. However, they also reveal that NATO had also invited

Russia to join back in 1991. Today, all we hear is that NATO denies it ever promised not to move eastward when not only is that not true, they invited Russia to even join their little club. That changes history completely.

15. (S) BEREZOVSKIY COMMENTED ON THE EXPANSION OF NATO, CHARGING THAT IT WAS A MISTAKE FOR RUSSIA NOT TO CAPITALIZE IMMEDIATELY ON NATO'S INVITATION TO RUSSIA TO BECOME A MEMBER. EVEN IF RUSSIA HAD AGREED SIMPLY TO LEAVE SUCH AN OPTION OPEN FOR THE FUTURE, IT WOULD BE IN A FAR BETTER POSITION VIS-A-VIS NATO THAN IT IS TODAY. BEREZOVSKIY ADMITTED THAT HE HAD OPPOSED RUSSIA'S AGREEMENT TO THE "FOUNDING ACT," ARGUING THAT IT SEVERELY LIMITED RUSSIA'S INFLUENCE WITH NATO. PRIMAKOV'S VIEW ON THIS MATTER, HOWEVER, PREVAILED. AS A RESULT, BEREZOVSKIY MAINTAINED, RUSSIA EXTRACTED NO BENEFITS FROM THIS MOVE.

## Reagan's Economic War Against the USSR

While Berezovsky was the origin of the anti-Putin commentary, John McCain jumped on that bandwagon instantly. McCain's reputation in Washington was anything but cordial – he was renowned for his temper. In 2008, he had an affair with a lobbyist, which he lied about to the New York Times – selling his vote for sex.



His former campaign adviser Steve Schmidt admitted he lied to the press and said the affair was so blatant *“that it alarmed his own staff, who did everything to keep the two apart.”*<sup>8</sup> That always left a question in my mind why McCain would sponsor a bogus Magnitsky Act, that protected Bill Browder from extradition for tax fraud. Some called it the **Browder Protection Act**. Was McCain getting free sex from a Browder/Epstein connection via Ghislaine

Maxwell whose father Robert Maxwell's protege was the Browder who loves to call himself Putin's number one enemy. Cindy McCain, John's daughter, admitted **“we all knew”** about Jeffrey Epstein and his sex trafficking, but authorities **“were afraid of him”** according to the Arizona Republic article January 31<sup>st</sup>, 2020.

It has also been long speculated that Bill Browder bought his way into McCain's circle by donating a lot of money to his Institute. That was the favorite scam and McCain probably got tips on how to do it from Hillary Clinton.

Then, the Daily Caller investigation found that the McCain Institute for International Leadership executives refused to disclose how much money big donors had contributed McCain's nonprofit fund like Hillary's. That is the typical way they protect selling favors on Capitol Hill and connections with the Bankers as well.

Mike Wallace in the famous 60 Minute Interview of John McCain, *The Maverick from Arizona*: Oct 12, 1997, noted:



*“People who know McCain well say he can hold a grudge. He also has a legendary temper. But if McCain can be hard on his friends and even harder on his enemies, he can also be very hard on himself.”*

John McCain admitted that while he was a Prisoner of War of the Vietnamese, they broke him and that may have been the cause of his hatred of Russia or any communist – revenge. They claimed the Russians were behind the Vietnamese.

<sup>8</sup> <https://www.yahoo.com/video/steve-schmidt-says-john-mccain-040712964.html>

## Reagan's Economic War Against the USSR

McCain's legendary ability to hold a grudge perhaps explains much about why he appears to have lashed out at Putin during his Presidential run in 2008 saying that Russia had a ***"KGB apparatchik-run"*** government (Communist). He also accused his Democratic rival, Barack Obama, of being naive over Russia's conflict with Georgi. He further bashed Putin saying:



*"Russia has now become a nation fueled by Petro-dollars that has basically become a KGB apparatchik-run government. I looked in Mr. Putin's eyes and I saw three letters -- a K, a G and B."*

July 18<sup>th</sup>, 2007

John McCain has always spoken against Russia and nothing ever changed his position despite the fact that Russia was no longer Communist since there was private ownership and the oligarchs proved that. It was 2005 when McCain wanted to exclude Russia from the G8 on the sole argument that the Russian government was not sharing USA's basic values. There were no specifics to define that view. They were simply Russians and that was good enough.

McCain knew Trump was against war using Ukraine as the spearhead to begin a proxy war against Russia. McCain was the most notorious American Neocon who had even met with neo-Nazis promising support against Putin in Ukraine. Then there was the whole Sergei Magnitsky problem, which McCain sponsored.

At the press conference in July 2018 where the U.S. claimed it wanted to question Russians about Hillary's RussiaGate claims, Putin stood alongside Trump and said no problem, but he too wanted to question a few Americans, which included Bill Browder and the former Ambassador who had investigated and rejected the Magnitsky story. It was McCain who blocked any such questioning.

The Russians presented 1,000 pages of documentation on Browder to U.S., but John McCain led the Senate to ban any American from being questioned by Putin in July 2019 – even Browder who resigned his American citizenship to avoid taxes. Thus, McCain was protecting Browder, when it was McCain who had also pushed the Magnitsky Act for Browder – a conflict of interest that might have exposed

## Reagan's Economic War Against the USSR

**POLITICO**

**McCain: Trump-Putin appearance 'one of the most disgraceful performances by an American president'**



"The damage inflicted by President Trump's naivete, egotism, false equivalences, and sympathy for autocrats is difficult to calculate," John McCain said in a statement. | Pablo Martinez Monsivais/AP Photo

By ELEANOR MUELLER  
07/14/2018 02:55 PM EDT



McCain's Neocon dealings. It was McCain who pushed the U.S. Senate into an unanimously approved non-binding to block any interrogation of Americans by Russia.

McCain had the audacity to claim that Trump's meeting with Putin was the most "disgraceful" performances of any American president. What infuriated McCain was that Putin wanted to question Browder who McCain was protecting. The Question was what was McCain really protecting? The

Neocons? Or was it just his personal hatred?

Then McCain pushed the U.S. Senate into an unanimously approved non-binding resolution against making current and former diplomats available to the Russian government for questioning in exchange for cooperation with the Mueller probe which exposed McCain working with Hillary. McCain must have known that Steele Dossier was a fraud anyway since he handed it to the FBI personally. Given his direct involvement in RussiaGate, at the very least this was an unethical conflict of interest and an obstruction of justice to prevent Muller from questioning any Russian. United States had refused to even respond to Putin's request to question Browder. This

yahoo/news

**Bill Browder: John McCain Helped Target Putin's Cronies and for That Reason He'll Always Be My Hero**



Putin's cronies, lawmakers McCain's names for critics to punish for the Magnitsky Act

Bill Browder  
August 30, 2015

would have exposed the Neocons back then.

politics The Biden Presidency Facts First US Elections

**McCain accuses Paul of 'working for Vladimir Putin'**

By Samantha Simon, CNN  
Updated 4:49 PM EDT, Fri March 17, 2017




Geopolitically, McCain was the driving force to constantly push to expand NATO right to the border of Russia in violation of all prior agreements. Back in 2017 when Senator Rand Paul had objected to allowing Montenegro to join NATO. McCain accused Paul of **treason** and working on the floor for Putin. McCain was constantly driven by his hatred of Russia and it

really never mattered who was the head of Russia at any time. He knew it was the Neocons who got Putin the job to protect Russia from their schemes.



## Reagan's Economic War Against the USSR

McCain's reputation on the Hill was one of a hot-head who would hold a grudge until he died. My personal encounters with him never left me with a warm and fuzzy feeling. Yahoo News reported that Bill Browder actually said that he had two heroes in the world: Sergei Magnitsky and John McCain. As long as Browder trashed Putin, McCain loved him and Magnitsky was killed because he would have exposed the bankers and the Neocons.



The *Wall Street Journal* published on Feb. 14<sup>th</sup>, 2022, an unimaginable level of espionage. Hillary was actually tapping into the White House communications to dig up dirt on Trump.

*"Special Counsel John Durham continues to unravel the Trump–Russia "collusion" story, and his latest court disclosure contains startling information. According to a Friday court filing, the 2016 Hillary Clinton campaign effort to compile dirt on Donald Trump reached into protected White House communications."*

To this very day, the hatred of McCain toward Russia and the promotion of RussiaGate by him and Hillary accomplished a critical goal. On July 18<sup>th</sup>, 2018, *USA Today* published the latest poll.



*"President Donald Trump may view Russia and its leader Vladimir Putin as a "competitor" who is "not my enemy," but a new poll being released Wednesday shows a large majority of Americans do not share the same opinion."*<sup>9</sup>

<sup>9</sup> <https://www.usatoday.com/story/news/world/2018/07/18/poll-russia-us-enemy/794640002/>

## Reagan's Economic War Against the USSR

The Clinton/McCain RussiaGate created a serious conspiracy theory with two elements. First, Trump with his campaign conspired or coordinated with Russia to interfere in the 2016 election. Secondly, they claimed that Trump was beholden to Putin for making him president.

Once they succeeded in convincing the majority of Americans that Russia was evil, they accomplished their goal – there will **never** be a return to peace. The cold War will reign supreme until it turned red hot with nuclear power.



As Glen Greenwald who exposed Edward Snowden wrote, Robert Mueller did not merely reject the Trump–Russia Conspiracy Theories, he obliterated Them! Greenwald points out that nobody was ever prosecuted for any conspiracy related to rigging the election. Indeed, Mueller's investigation did not find any evidence that Trump's campaign had ever coordinated with Russia to influence the United States' 2016 election.

Nevertheless, they have succeeded in creating an image around Putin that he is pure evil and needs to be removed even if it takes World War III. McCain in an interview with ABC News, said that Vladimir Putin was still ruling his autocratic Russia. *"We need to improve their behavior."* McCain promised to kick Russia out of the G8 if he won the Presidency.

*"His government – former president Putin, and now Prime Minister Putin – has taken his country down a path that I think is very harmful. They've become an autocracy. In the last week or so, look at Russia's actions. They cut back on their oil supplies to the Czechs, because the Czechs made an agreement with us. They have now thrown out – or forced out – BP out of Russia. They continue to put enormous pressures on Georgia in many ways. They're putting pressure on Ukraine. They are blocking action in the United Nations Security Council on Iran."*

## Reagan's Economic War Against the USSR

*"We want better Russian behavior internationally, and we have every right to expect it. And I will do what I can to see that they reverse many of the behavior patterns which have really been very unhelpful to peace in the world."*


Nowhere in the declassified documents is there any mention that Putin is even remotely leaning toward resurrecting the old USSR or restoring Communism. Yeltsin selected him because he was not a communist. There was no possible way the Russian people would have supported a return to the USSR surrendering their freedom and all their wealth. The declassified documents made that very clear.

*"Unlike Yeltsin, who tried to play a balancing role among Russia's fractious elites, Putin is fighting several other battles simultaneously. The recent crackdown on prominent oligarchs ... Other notorious power-brokers (Abramovich, Mamut) are escaping scrutiny from the tax police and procuracy; Putin's inner circle of security service veterans and the so-called Yeltsin "family" are reportedly pulling the strings. The Putin administration is walking a very fine line between laying down new, more modern "rules of the game" ... While criticism of Putin's tough guy methods and highly elastic political value system is mounting in the liberal Russian press, his approval rating is still over 75%.*

*Sound Thinking on Economics. Putin continues to say all the right things on the economy, but he has trimmed his sails somewhat regarding international" which was obviously rejecting IMF loans after the illegal laundering."*

Declassified 2012- 1021-M

The serious question that haunts the memory of John McCain is why did he keep saying the exact opposite of all the intelligence reports that were declassified from the Clinton Administration? I have read what he read. It simply appeared that Russia had to be the enemy regardless of the facts. Was this to support NATO or just a personal grudge, for which he was legendary?



In the *Daily Beast* on March 1<sup>st</sup>, 2014, McCain repeated his hatred of Putin:

*"Of course, she got it wrong," said McCain. "She believed that somehow there would be a reset with a guy who was a KGB colonel who always had ambitions to restore the Russian empire. That's what this is all about."<sup>10</sup>*

---

<sup>10</sup> <https://www.thedailybeast.com/exclusive-mccain-tells-obama-how-to-punish-putin>

## Reagan's Economic War Against the USSR

Everything hinged on maintaining that Russia was the evil enemy that wanted to eat your children if then ventured into the dark forest. McCain presented the view that Russia wanted to still conquer the world and


I once said, 'We will bury you,' and I got into trouble with it. Of course we will not bury you with a shovel. Your own working class will bury you.



Nikita Khrushchev  
(1894 – 1971)

bury the United States as if Putin was still Nikita Khrushchev (1894–1971) whom even the Communists removed from power in 1964 because of his constant confrontation with the USA – i.e., the Cuban Missile Crisis.

McCain lashed out during his first presidential debate in 2008 always against Russia with the same story it was run by the KGB when Putin resigned in 1991 as soon as the USSR fell. Did McCain simply refuse to believe that Putin was not an apparatchik (Communist) as he kept telling the world? Or was this just a grudge because he assumed the Vietnamese were backed by Russia who succeeded in breaking him where vets called him the Vietnam's Tokyo Rose.



It is a well-established fact that people tend to judge others by themselves. A dishonest person will not trust anyone else and an honest person often becomes the fool separated from their money. According to famous German novelist, Hermann Hesse (1877– 1962):

*"If you hate a person, you hate something in him that is part of yourself. What isn't part of ourselves doesn't disturb us."*

Indeed, whenever you're judgmental of traits in others, it is often said that you're, in fact, judging yourself. That does not apply to a rapist or bank robber or someone senile. This does refer to the character/traits and/or mannerisms of John McCain who became the leader of the American Neocons who are relentless in their machinations to create World War III and their hatred of Russia.


# Sabotage of Nord Stream



Seymour Hersh won a Pulitzer Prize for his exposing the **My Lai Massacre** in Vietnam. He has been attacked by the leftist journalists because he has exposed that the Biden Administration gave the order to blow up the Nord Stream Pipeline.<sup>11</sup> Seymour is one of a dying breed of real independent journalists. He has revealed that the United States destroyed Nord Stream Pipeline and this was all part of the **regime change war** where the Neocons are now supporting Nazis to exterminate Russia once and for all. This is all being justified, for they are now saving the planet under **Climate Change**.

The Neocons who manipulate war are the real war criminals. They even control the International Criminal Court (ICC) pushing it to declare Putin a War Criminal when it has no such jurisdiction. It has been Seymour Hersh's in-depth article that explains:

*"Last June, the Navy divers, operating under the cover of a widely publicized mid-summer NATO exercise known as BALTOPS 22, planted the remotely triggered explosives that, three months later, destroyed three of the four Nord Stream pipelines, according to a source with direct knowledge of the operational planning.*




<sup>11</sup> <https://seymourhersh.substack.com/p/how-america-took-out-the-nord-stream>

## Sabotage of Nord Stream

*Two of the pipelines, which were known collectively as Nord Stream 1, had been providing Germany and much of Western Europe with cheap Russian natural gas for more than a decade. A second pair of pipelines, called Nord Stream 2, had been built but were not yet operational. Now, with Russian troops massing on the Ukrainian border and the bloodiest war in Europe since 1945 looming, President Joseph Biden saw the pipelines as a vehicle for Vladimir Putin to weaponize natural gas for his political and territorial ambitions."*



Indeed, on February 7<sup>th</sup>, 2022, President Joe Biden stood up at a press conference and declared that he would end Nord Stream 2 over Russia's invasion of Ukraine. Most do not realize that even Donald Trump, the Neocon's alleged puppet of Putin, made it known that he wanted to block the Nord Stream 2 pipeline back in 2020 to end Germany's reliance on Russian energy. That was Joe Biden undermining the German economy as well on Neocon orders. That was reported by *Fortune Magazine* on September 8<sup>th</sup>, 2020<sup>12</sup>.




When the history books of this period are written after the dust settles post-2032, I believe you will find that the stated goal has been to break the energy link between Germany and Russia to destroy the economy of Russia. That has been the Neocon goal since trade between the two was negotiated in 1955.

---

<sup>12</sup> Meyer, David. "Trump Has Long Wanted to Kill a Russia–Germany Natural Gas Pipeline. Navalny's Poisoning Could Do It for Him." *Fortune*, September 8, 2020. <https://fortune.com/2020/09/08/trump-pipeline-russia-germany-natural-gas-merkel-navalny-poisoned-nord-stream-2/>.


## Sabotage of Nord Stream



On September 26<sup>th</sup>, 2022, global headlines reported that the Nord Stream pipeline had been destroyed. Moreover, this attack also appears to have been coordinated. Most now believe that the United States took out this pipeline. Even Jeffrey Sachs of Columbia University stated the obvious and was cancelled by the media for telling the truth. Ending the flow of energy to Germany was the stated objective from the start. The US began instantly imposing sanctions on companies that manufactured even the pipe for the project.

Energy was 50% of Russia's GDP so it was an economic war. The sanctions imposed primarily by the United States to date have not worked, but in fact, they have backfired on the United States and Europe, causing runaway inflation with economic decline—STAGFLATION.

This attack was also timed with Poland and Norway<sup>13</sup> announcing a new Baltic pipeline coincidentally the very next day on the 27<sup>th</sup>—an amazing coincidence. In addition, the EU cut a deal with Israel<sup>14</sup> the week before on the 12<sup>th</sup>, so they would no longer rely on Russia for gas and oil. Sweden has refused to release any details of what they found with the explosion.



<sup>13</sup> Moody, Kate. "Business Daily - Norway, Poland Open New Gas Pipeline amid Nord Stream Leaks." *France 24*, September 27, 2022. <https://www.france24.com/en/tv-shows/business-daily/20220927-norway-poland-open-new-gas-pipeline-as-nord-stream-leaks>.

<sup>14</sup> "Israel Part of Solution to Europe's Gas Woes, Lapid Says." *Reuters*, September 12, 2022. <https://www.reuters.com/business/energy/israel-part-solution-europes-gas-woes-lapid-2022-09-12/>.

## Sabotage of Nord Stream

Then on September 7<sup>th</sup>, 2022, Putin proposed that the sanctions should be lifted or he would turn off the gas by simply turning a valve. Putin perhaps did not have the full picture of how there was a strategic effort to eliminate Russia entirely by undermining its economy. Putin has failed to grasp just how crazy the West's Neocons have become. Climate change rules everything, and they want to destroy the Russian economy to end its production of fossil fuels.




A Gallup/Knight Foundation survey about the news was very disturbing. Indeed, those surveyed said they believe that 44% of news reporting and 64% of news on social media is inaccurate. And they're upset about it

— more than 80% said they were angered or bothered by seeing biased information, and slightly more felt similarly about seeing inaccurate information.

The left-leaning press who is always not just anti-Republican and Russia, they are also the ones who began cancelling anyone who dared to question Pfizer and the COVID vaccines that we now realize were never properly tested. They ran with the story that the Nord Stream Pipelines were sabotaged by Russia. Anyone with 10% of a brain would have realized that Russia could have just shut off the valve. Blowing up the pipelines eliminated that as a bargaining chip and even more significant, it permanently eliminated Russia as a source of energy for Germany which has been the demands of the Neocons since they began in 1955.

All the leftist press never questions even one word that the West blamed Russia for the sabotage. Honest journalism has just vanished. Now all the

mainstream media has become just fake news blaming Russia with the most absurd reasoning ever. This was as if the police came to a murder scene, the guy with the weapon and blood all over him says it wasn't me, it was the guy down the street and they just let him go.





## Sabotage of Nord Stream

**Vox** EXPLAINERS ▾ CROSSWORD VIDEO PODCASTS POLITICS POLICY CULTURE SCIENCE MORE ▾ [Give](#)

**Help keep Vox free** Reader support helps us keep our explainers free for all. Support our mission, and make a gift today. [Yes, I'll Give](#)

### The Nord Stream pipeline sabotage, explained


The undersea explosions in two gas pipelines from Russia exposed Europe's vulnerabilities — just as the continent faces a looming energy crisis.

By Jen Kirby | jen.kirby@vox.com | Sep 28, 2022, 1:20pm EDT

[f](#) [t](#) [SHARE](#)



Danish Defense shows the gas leaking at Nord Stream 2 seen from the Danish F-16 interceptor on Bornholm, Denmark, on September 27. | Danish Defence/Anadolu Agency via Getty Images




The left-leaning VOX News repeated that this matches Putin's use of energy as a weapon. Nobody bothered to even question that this would eliminate Putin using energy as a weapon since he could not turn it back on.

*"Deliberate disruption of European energy infrastructure is utterly unacceptable and will be met with a robust and united response," Josep Borrell, the EU's foreign policy chief, tweeted. "Deliberate disruption of European energy infrastructure is utterly unacceptable and will be met with a robust and united response."*

*"Right now, though European and US officials are calling this a deliberate act, they have not directly laid out the potential suspects. Officials in several countries, including Germany, Sweden, and Denmark, are investigating the origins of the leak. But unofficially, many in Europe are accusing Russia of the sabotage, given the EU believes the Kremlin has a track record of trying to weaponize energy. Moscow likely has the capability and equipment to carry out such an operation, and an incentive to keep putting pressure on Europe as Vladimir Putin escalates his war effort. The Kremlin has called it "stupid and absurd" to blame Russia for the Nord Stream leaks — and is likely gleeful at the percolating conspiracy theories that blame the United States."*

## Sabotage of Nord Stream



Then, because Seymour Hersh put out a report that the US blew up the pipeline, the leftist press calls Hersh a “discredited” journalist.



Hersh said the rationale for the mission was “to make sure that Europe keeps on supporting NATO and keeps funneling arms into what is clearly a proxy war against Russia that’s being fought right now.”

“It’s not going to help the war. What he was doing it for, was to prevent Germany and Western Europe, in case the winter came quickly, from opening up the pipeline,” Hersh said. He even revealed that the Neocons in the US intelligence community hatched the plan in late 2021, before

the escalation of hostilities even began Ukraine. This was consistent with what I have found from the very start tracking the antics of the Neocons intent to cut off the energy from Russia to Europe no matter the fact that it was that energy that enabled the German economy to rise from the ashes of the war.

In an interview with Russia Today, Hersh explained: “The people who did this thing in the intelligence community, they initially thought it was a great idea.” Yet, Hersh also explained that when Biden, and Victoria Nuland one of the chief Neocons, began to speak publicly about “stopping” and “ending” Nord Stream 2, the US Intelligence Community became upset “because it was supposed to be a covert operation.”

## Sabotage of Nord Stream

Hersh elaborated that the bombs were planted in June of 2022, during the BALTOPS 2022 naval exercise in the Baltic Sea. They were triggered to go off in late September. My sources indicate that the US in fact destroyed Nord Stream and this was the dream of the Neocons from the very beginning. The entire strategy was to cut off their revenue, weaken Russia, they will be unable to fight, and this the Neocons can conquer Russia and destroy it forever. The Banks will get their fingers in the pie and become the new 21<sup>st</sup> century carpetbaggers.

Naturally, the White House immediately rejected Hersh's report as ***"utterly false and complete fiction."*** That was in political rhetoric, confirming the White House did give the order or they would have provided details and instantly cooperated to find who did it. Meanwhile, Russia's ambassador to the United Nations, Vasily Nebenzia, said the investigations of Germany, Denmark, and Sweden into the sabotage are aimed at protecting Washington. Indeed, Western countries were showing no intention of cooperating with Moscow in an inquiry into the blasts. That confirms the culprit was indeed the Biden Administration. What is astonishing is that the leaders of Europe were willing to sacrifice their own economy for the geopolitical antics of the Neocons who care nothing about Europe.



China has also called for an objective, impartial and professional investigation into the explosions, urging relevant sides to heed the calls of the international community. The stonewalling proves that the Biden Administration is behind it as part of the strategy to conquer Russia and achieve their end goal – regime change as they did in Iraq.

## Sabotage of Nord Stream



The Neocons wanted the pipeline destroyed to prevent Europe from cave-in on their war against Russia because it would be a harsh winter and people would freeze in addition to destroying the German economy. The strategy to prevent Europe from abandoning their proxy war was to destroy the Nord Stream Pipeline to prevent Germany from having second thoughts. As I have said, the Neocon care nothing about the people. This is all about the insane hatred of Russia.

Putin, in all his years, never has seen such dishonesty from the United States until the Biden Administration was usurped by the Neocons. He never anticipated such hatred dominating American foreign policy. This was beyond the days of the Cold War. The Neocons only saw that if the EU had been forced to cave in and lift the sanctions as winter deepened, the war against Russia would have collapsed. The Neocons have dominated the foreign policy of the Biden Administration and unless Biden is removed, we will certainly not restore world peace.

Destruction of the pipeline was seen as the Neocon's dream of a death blow to Russia for over 50 years. They always pushed to cut off all Russian exports and starve them out like a good old fashion siege of the medieval days. The alternative from the Biden Administration is for Germany to buy liquefied natural gas (LNG)<sup>15</sup>. This showed it was not a sanction that if Russia exited Ukraine, it was to destroy the Russian economy permanently and Ukraine was just the excuse.

---

<sup>15</sup> Clean Energy Wire. "Ukraine War Pushes Germany to Build LNG Terminals," January 16, 2023. <https://www.cleanenergywire.org/factsheets/liquefied-gas-does-lng-have-place-germanys-energy-future>.


## Sabotage of Nord Stream



At the United Nations Security Council on September 29<sup>th</sup>, 2022, the Russian representative laid out the facts and directly asked the United States representative: “Did the United States take out the Nord Stream 2 Pipeline?” The U.S. representative neither confirmed nor denied anything, which in itself was confirming that the U.S. sabotaged the pipeline. On September 30<sup>th</sup>, 2022, Secretary of State Antony Blinken said that the attacks on the Nord Stream natural gas pipelines that connect Russia to Germany, offer a “tremendous opportunity” to end Europe’s dependency on Russian energy. If Russia attacked U.S. infrastructure, it would be an outright war. The U.S. knows that Putin has never had designs to reestablish the USSR. All of these documents confirm that it has been a public propaganda objective like the Steel Dossier against Trump.

Ukrainian President Volodymyr Zelensky also made a statement about negotiations with Russia on Friday, September 30<sup>th</sup>, 2022, that Ukraine is ready “to agree on coexistence on equal, honest, dignified, and fair terms.” However, he also made it clear that no talks about peace are possible with Putin: “We are ready for a dialogue with Russia, but with another president of Russia.” He demanded regime change.

On March 26<sup>th</sup>, 2022, Biden pushed for the typical Neocon agenda – Regime Change which was their specialty – i.e., Saddam Hussein. Biden said, “*For God’s sake, this man cannot remain in power.*” Almost immediately after Biden made that




## Sabotage of Nord Stream

statement, which was not in the script, the White House downplayed that remark by releasing a statement:

*"The president's point was that Putin cannot be allowed to exercise power over his neighbors or the region. He was not discussing Putin's power in Russia, or regime change."*

Of course, that was a lie. The U.S. foreign policy driven by the Neocons has always been about **regime change** throughout the Middle East, Asia, and South America.

Of course, you have those appearing at the World Economic Forum also calling for **regime change**, such as George Soros. He called to overthrow Putin and Xi Jinping to save "civilization" that fits his version of a political state of a one-world government. His dream of an "open society" is actually a one-world government headed by the United Nations, creating his planet with no borders. As soon as they realize there would also be dissent, then the freedom of movement would end.



This very idea of **regime change** lies at the heart of the policy pushed by the Democratic Party that was employed against even Donald Trump domestically to achieve **regime change** to enable this Neocon attack upon Russia. Now, they pretend that they are not insisting on **regime change** after Biden went off script and said what they were all really about. Therefore, they told their puppet Zelensky to call for **regime change** in Russia as a term for peace talks. Then Zelensky called for an expedited entry to NATO that would be the same as the 1962 Cuban Missile Crisis in reverse. NATO is prohibited from accepting a country that is at war. Let's see if they change that rule.

# Regime Change

**Regime change** theory perhaps emanated from the age-old question: *What if someone killed Hitler? Would that have prevented World War II?* Thus, we have this hypothetical theory within international geopolitical relations that has emerged

## Sabotage of Nord Stream

from this speculative liberal tradition pushed by the Neocons. This argues that international institutions or regimes affect the behavior of states. Consequently, if you remove that leader, then somehow everything will be normal. Without Lenin, they think there would not have been a Communist Revolution, and without Hitler, there would not have been World War II. In both cases, people listened to them only because of the underlying economic conditions.

Selfdom, which ended in Europe during the 14<sup>th</sup> century, did not end in Russia until 1861. So, the common people did not own any land. This was the economic foundation that allowed Marxist theory to be adopted for revolution. In the case of Germany, the reparation payments imposed on the German people for World War I led to economic chaos and this Hitler's words tapped into that frustration. The Neocons are attacking the Russian economy and the people know this is a foreign enemy – not Putin. They are fueling the resentment of the West and like Germany, they may turn to someone who will launch World War III.

NATO's intervention in Libya on March 19<sup>th</sup>, 2011, was a multi-state NATO-led coalition that began a military intervention in Libya that was not even in

Europe. It was intended to be **regime change**, but they used NATO under the pretense of humanitarian aid to remove Muammar Gaddafi (1942–2011) when the provided arms to the rebels who then killed him in mob violence. It was an operation that caused a tremendous debate because of its hypocrisy.



Muammar Muhammad Abu Minyar al-Gaddafi  
(1942 – October 20th, 2011)



NATO's actions contradicted the very purpose of the humanitarian intervention to justify its role according to the definition of its **Responsibility to Protect** (R2P) civilians against its own government. It was really about **regime change** outside of its jurisdiction, which was Europe.

Indeed, the parties to the North Atlantic Treaty (NATO) signed in Washington on April 4<sup>th</sup>, 1949,

## Sabotage of Nord Stream

stated considering that the forces of one party may be sent by arrangement to serve in the territory of another party. Nothing in the treaty gave it jurisdiction outside of Europe. Now they are even preparing to intervene in Asia.

Moreover, the very sub-Saharan African torture reports involved the rebel groups that had NATO's backing for **regime change**. The very NATO-backed rebel groups were torturing minority ethnic groups, many of whom were civilians, which undermined NATO's stated mission. These acts contradicted the definition of **Responsibility to Protect** and delegitimized the very purported necessity for **regime change**. NATO simply became a tool of the Neocon for regime change which they are targeting now even Russia.



In other words, the king is dead, long live the king explains that when the power changes hands, it typically results in more violence that becomes retribution. Further proof that the operation was about **regime change** when the fighting ended on October 31<sup>st</sup>, 2011, following the killing of Muammar Gaddafi. NATO only then stated it would end operations over Libya for it accomplished its true goal—**regime change**. Even 10 years later, Libya remained politically unstable. Their entire theory of bringing democracy failed, yet they refuse to stop their agenda. If they remove Putin in a country with so many ethnic differences all arms with nuclear weapons, what achievement would even be accomplished?



## Sabotage of Nord Stream

The only difference between conquest and **regime change**, in theory, is that the invading army has no intention of occupying the country they are invading. In that respect, there is often a very fine line between conquest that has also included a **regime change** and one of installing just a puppet ruler, reducing that



country to a mere vassal state. They cannot point to even one instance where their theory of **regime changes** ever worked. Tony Blair admitted that after handing Saddam, the civilians became the victims of sectarian wars that Saddam had prevented. Allowing Gaddafi to be beaten to death were both acts that called into question the righteousness of NATO.

Even during the final years of the Roman Empire, a puppet monarch, **regime change**, was just a figurehead who was installed by barbarian rulers for image purposes. Libius Severus (461–465 AD) was appointed by the Germanic General Flavius Ricimer (c 418–472 AD). This allowed imperial power to provide the appearance of the local authority under the control of the barbarian



**Libius Severus**  
(461-465AD)  
*The 4th Shadow Emperors*  
*installed by Flavius Ricimer Germanic General*



**Romulus Augustus**  
(475 - 476AD)  
[ArmstrongEconomics.COM](http://ArmstrongEconomics.COM)

forces. Rome only lasted at best another 10 years before the last emperor – Romulus Augustus (475–476 AD). Is this the timeline we too face until 2032?

All mine and public sources were all pointing the finger in the same direction at the Biden Administration for the sabotage of the Nord Stream pipeline to

permanent destroy the Russian economy. Their intent was to wage an economic

## Sabotage of Nord Stream

war against Russia to eliminate energy exports to Europe, that accounted for 50% of Russia's GDP. This was a declaration of war against Russia and Putin knew it. After Seymour Hersh's report – ***"How America Took Out the Nord Stream Pipeline"***– which detailed the series of explosions that occurred to achieve the Neocon goal, Biden merely replied that he White House on Wednesday pushed back against a report with a meager White House statement that was "utterly false" and nothing



else. Russia, however, called on the Biden Administration to give a more detailed explanation. They refused. Putin then asked the United Nations to investigate, which will never reveal the truth either.

According to Hersh, President Joe Biden ordered specialized U.S. Navy divers to take out the pipelines after Washington's national security community debated over the plan for nine months. Of course, NATO Secretary General Jens Stoltenberg

and European officials all admitted that the explosions an act of espionage, but they have refused to say who was responsible and the only person they would protect would be the Biden Administration.

Klaus Schwab's World Economic Forum pushing the **Great Reset** also very clearly requires the overthrow and subjugation of both China and Russia, as well as North Korea, Iran, and all other allies of Russia. They have been strategically seeking to overthrow the sovereignty of all governments handing supreme power to the United Nations which will then become the dreaded one-world government at the United Nations. They have used climate change to justify cutting off all energy flows from Russia to Europe, but they have also claimed that climate change cannot be solved without a one-world government since no single nation can change the world.



## Russia Platinum 3, 6, and 12 Roubles of Nicholas I (b 1796; 1825-1855)



A profoundly original phenomenon was the striking by the Russian state of new platinum coins with the value of 3, 6 and 12 rubles from 1828 to 1845. Russia is the only country in the world that struck platinum coins for circulation composition 9 parts gold & 68 parts of "pure Urals platinum"

*ArmstrongEconomics.COM*

Russia has been invaded 18 times from the Mongols, Poland, Sweden, Napoleon, Ottoman Empire, and Adolf Hitler, just to mention a few. They were all after its



richest. By 1917, Russia held the largest gold reserves in the world. They had even issued platinum coinage – the rarest in the world. The conquest of Russia was always a prize beyond description.

The mindset of Russia has always been that they feel disrespected in modern times. Even overthrowing communism and Putin speaking against Lenin never

seemed enough. The Western press has been stabbing deep into the very heart of Russia's pride. They celebrate the draft dodgers running from Russia while condemning the American draft dodgers who fled to Canada and Mexico during the Vietnam War. The latest polls show Germans will flee a draft as well. The Western Press are simply taking their propaganda instructions from the governments. They are cheering war as if this is some soccer match of the Superbowl in America. Nobody bothers to speak for the common people who are never the agents of war – only their political leaders.

## Sabotage of Nord Stream



The Western press constantly puts out propaganda that Putin's invasion was unprovoked. They will not address the negotiations of the Minsk Agreement where the Donbas was to be allowed to vote. Merkel's statement that it was all staged to allow Ukraine time to build an army demonstrates this nonsense that Russia's invasion was unprovoked. Any journalist who dares to write that

has sold his soul to the devil and will have the blood of millions on his hands if not billions when it is time to die.

The press will never discuss the May 2<sup>nd</sup>, 2014 **Massacre of Odessa**, which began the separatist movement of the Donbas, where the Neo-Nazis burned alive Russian-speaking Ukrainians. That was OK because they were Russians. Today, the press is supporting the very theories of Adolf Hitler. The press refuse to report



Trade Unions House - Odessa on May 2, 2014

that the Ukrainian Neo-Nazis are engaging in ethnic cleansing. Even if Russia

withdrew, they would not stop until they killed every Russian-speaking Ukrainian on what they claim is Ukrainian territory.



Ukraine to prepare law banning churches 'affiliated' with Russia


By Dan Peleschuk and Max Hunder



The press glosses-over the fact that Zelensky outlawed speaking Russian, and he outlawed their freedom of religion. Zelensky demanded that all churches no longer report to the Patriarch in Moscow but to his Patriarch in Kyiv.<sup>16</sup>

<sup>16</sup> Helleniscope. "Zelensky's 'Democracy' In Ukraine: The Russian Language Was Banned and Now They Ban the Church of 70% of Orthodox Faithful!," April 3, 2022. <https://www.helleniscope.com/2022/03/31/zelenskys->

## Sabotage of Nord Stream



The Western media also glosses-over the fact that Zelensky has also outlawed any pro-Russian<sup>17</sup> political party and any pro-Russian media in Ukraine in a true authoritarian manner.<sup>18</sup> Ukraine was NEVER a country before the breakup of the USSR. Half of the claimed Ukraine today is made up of former Russian territory of the Tsars. There were no Ukrainians living in the Donbas. None of these decrees are ever considered by the Western Press as a provocation. Religion has often been a motive for war. This is the hallmark of propaganda—one-sided reporting. What would they do if Protestants were outlawed and all Christians must only follow the Pope? Would that be worthy of reporting?




democracy-in-ukraine-russian-language-was-banned-and-now-they-ban-the-church-of-70-of-orthodox-faithful/.

<sup>17</sup> Institute for War and Peace Reporting. "Ukraine to Outlaw Pro-Russian Political Parties," n.d. <https://iwpr.net/global-voices/ukraine-outlaw-pro-russian-political-parties>.

<sup>18</sup> Mirovalev, Mansur. "In Risky Move, Ukraine's President Bans pro-Russian Media." *Media News / Al Jazeera*, February 5, 2021. <https://www.aljazeera.com/news/2021/2/5/ukraines-president-bans-pro-russian-networks-risking-support>.


## Sabotage of Nord Stream

The media will also not address how Ukraine was to remain neutral. Zelensky pushed for NATO membership to provoke Russia, violating the 1991 accord that neither NATO nor Russia would enter Ukraine. Joining NATO was the Cuban Missile Crisis in reverse.



On February 23<sup>rd</sup>, 2022, the very day before Putin's invasion, Zelensky publicly stated that Ukraine would seek nuclear weapons, as reported by the *Daily Wire*. That night, Putin addressed the nation reporting ***"As it has been stated today, Ukraine intends to create its own nuclear weapons."*** Yet the Western Press continues to claim Russia's move to protect the Donbas was "unprovoked" after the refusal to comply with the Minsk Agreement, and Zelensky standing up declaring Ukraine would no longer be neutral and would arm itself with nuclear weapons displays the fake news sending us into war.

The USA invaded Iraq on the claim that it had weapons of mass destruction that never existed. But asserting that Ukraine would become a nuclear power somehow was perfectly acceptable, and Putin was the aggressor. The same was somehow not true for the United States concerning Iraq.



## Sabotage of Nord Stream



This is the Western media's propaganda intended to support the war against Russia. Zelensky never told his people to evacuate because Russia was about to invade. He claimed that if he

warned people, that would create a financial panic. The truth, just as the fake air raid sirens when Biden visited on February 21<sup>st</sup>, 2021, was to ensure people would be killed to support his entire propaganda campaign. Many in Ukraine are starting to see that Zelensky has sacrificed his country for a handful of silver as a modern-day Judas. The Biden Administration has been paying all the salaries of the entire Ukrainian government and their pensions.

You must always demonize the head of state to justify invading a country and his overthrow. This entire Ukrainian invasion has been not just a provoked event to overthrow Russia for the Great Reset; Zelensky has been willing to sacrifice his own people as a puppet ruler of the West. Some believe that he only admitted being a Jew pretend he is not a neo-Nazi, but he married a Christian and his children were baptized. Some feel this is now retribution for the ethnic cleansing of Jews in Ukraine that he managed to escape.

The Ukrainian people **WRONGLY** believe that Putin is their enemy. You are looking in the **WRONG** direction. Biden's Secretary of State Antony Blinken actually said that any peace deal between Ukraine and Russia that relinquishes the Donbas in exchange for peace. He said in his interview:

*"If we ratify the seizure of land by another country and say 'that's okay, you can go in and take it by force and keep it,' that will open a Pandora's box around the world for would be aggressors that will say, 'Well, we'll do the same thing and get away with it.'"*



Antony Blinken  
71st United States Secretary of State


## Sabotage of Nord Stream

The NPR Interview on January 7<sup>th</sup>, 2023<sup>19</sup> demonstrates that not only was the Minsk Agreement a fraud, but they want this war and do not care how many Ukrainian civilians or Russians are killed. Their blood is indifferent to these Neocons. All they care about is destroying Russia. First of all, this is the standard Neocon propaganda.



Adam Smith (1723-1790)

### *Wealth of Nations* (1776)




Until everyone wakes up to these warmongers, you, your future, and your children will never have the future your planned.

Those days of occupying foreign lands are all in the past and were part of the old Imperialism Theory – the more land you have the wealthier you will be.

That was all put in place by the Physiocrats who maintained that wealth of agriculture and that meant land. That was why Adam Smith in 1776 published his *Wealth of Nations*. If a blacksmith sold a saddle in England to a farmer in France, he returned with the same coin as a farmer selling grain to someone in France. The wealth of a nation was not simply agriculture and hence Empire Building. That is why the British Empire collapsed and that theory failed.

On September 6<sup>th</sup>, 2022, CNBC reported: *“Russia has cut off gas supplies to Europe indefinitely. Here’s what you need to know.”*

Russia stopped pumping gas via Nord Stream 1 and said it was completely dependent on the sanctions to discontinue pumping. Kremlin spokesman Dmitry Peskov replied that if the sanctions were lifted, the gas would flow again. He said that the sanctions prevented the maintenance of units necessary to pump the gas. The sabotage of the Nord Stream Pipelines was then carried out as an act of war. This was the strategy of the Neocons despite just saying that was false with no explanation.



<sup>19</sup> <https://www.npr.org/2023/02/14/1156723277/blinken-china-ukraine-russia-syria-aid>





Meanwhile, China has told the White House, as if they even listen, that if Ukraine joins NATO, there will be a nuclear war. The Biden Administration takes its foreign policy orders from the Neocons. They have declared this the proxy war on Russia and are strategically trying to destroy its economy while supporting the Neo-Nazis of Ukraine and their ethnic cleansing. They have zero ethics and even less morals no less respect for humanity. The only war crime is those for scheme to create it.

Anyone who questions the existence of the Ukrainian Neo-Nazis should look at the Polish Association of Memory of Victims of Crimes of Ukrainian Nazis. They documented 135 methods of torture and murder practiced by Ukrainian Nazis that even horrified the German Nazis. Poland officially called the Ukrainian actions genocide. The Ukrainians have been protected by the CIA for decades only because they hated Russians. They continue to protect the Ukrainian Nazis only because they are waging war against Russia.

The Ukrainians were unprecedented in their war crimes during WWII and the sheer outright cruelty one would expect only exists in some B-rated horror movies. This included:

- Running children through with stakes
- Cutting a person's throat and pulling their tongue out through the hole
- Sawing a person's torso in half with a carpenter's saw
- Cutting open the belly of a woman in an advanced stage of pregnancy, removing the fetus, and replacing it with a live cat before sewing up her abdomen.
- Cutting open a pregnant women's abdomen and pouring in broken glass
- Nailing a small child to a door

None of this bothers a Neocon. Their complicity and duplicity reveal their own lack of human morals or respect for humanity. We are just the dirt beneath their feet.

## Sabotage of Nord Stream

The Iranian Neo-Nazis are a vengeful lot, and they still believe in the ethnic cleansing of their country. They will not even tolerate Russian-speaking Ukrainians who have lived in the Donbas for centuries. There is not a single leader in the West who will stand up and speak the truth.

Biden coming out to warn Putin he will defend every inch of NATO territory is just theatre. Putin had no intention of invading a NATO country and made no effort even to conquer all of Ukraine. The U.S. is waging a direct economic siege on Russia, and this is really an all-out war to bring about the destruction of Russia as they have done in Iraq, all for **regime change** once again using climate change to ending fossil fuels as their excuse that they are really saving the planet.



The West simply refuses to realize that they have been brainwashed by the Neocons and are acting the same as Adolf Hitler supporting the Ukrainian Neo-Nazis in their ethnic cleansing. The West has ignored international law not just seizing private assets of Russians on the claim they are friends of Putin, but they have totally ignored the human rights of the people in the Donbas. That region has always been occupied by Russians and Ukraine never existed as a country before 1991.

## Sabotage of Nord Stream



The Western Press only pushes the Neocon propaganda to the destruction of Western Civilization. All the evidence is there if anyone dares to simply search Google. In 2014, the West installed a “temporary,” completely unelected government in Ukraine, and they immediately launched a civil war

and attacked the Donbas upon orders from the USA, which has funded civil war ever since

On April 15<sup>th</sup>, 2014, it was this unelected interim government run by the West that began the civil war – the Ukrainians never voted for a civil war. The interim government directed the parliament to declare Crimea and the city of Sevastopol “occupied territories” and justify calling in the Army to begin the Civil War. That same day the interim government sent the Army against pro-Russian separatists calling it the “**Anti-Terrorist Operation**” beginning the civil war at the direction of the West. Here we have the start of this critical event and it was begun with an unelected government installed by the West.

Interestingly, the Associated Press reported the day before that the former President Viktor Yanukovich said that the John Brennan, the CIA director who was involved in the Steel dossier, had met with the Interim Government leadership and “in fact sanctioned the use of weapons and provoked bloodshed.”

The CIA cleverly did not answer saying they do not comment on Brennan’s travel plans. Then denied Brennan “encouraged Ukrainian authorities to conduct tactical operations inside Ukraine.” Brennan was there to start the civil war.


Speaking hours later on Russian state television, Viktor Yanukovich claimed that CIA director John Brennan had met with Ukraine’s new leadership and “in fact sanctioned the use of weapons and provoked bloodshed.”

The CIA flatly denied the accusation that Brennan was pulling the strings in Ukraine. CIA spokesman Dean Boyd said that while the agency doesn’t comment on Brennan’s travel itinerary, the “claim that director Brennan encouraged Ukrainian authorities to conduct tactical operations inside Ukraine is completely false.”

Associated Press - April 14, 2014

© April 14, 2014 00:00

### The alleged visit of CIA head John Brennan to Kiev



Moscow asks Washington for explanations




"We would like to understand what is meant by the message of an **urgent visit to Kiev by the director of the CIA**," said Sergei Lavrov, Russian foreign minister. "We have not yet been given a convincing explanation," Lavrov commented at a press conference.

Only the foreign press found the story important. This is the same Brennan who lied claiming all roads from Trump lead to Putin. Brennan also briefed Harry Reid on the Steele dossier in August 2016 before McCain gave it to Comey where Reid quotes the dossier in a letter to Comey when Brennan claimed he did not see the dossier until December of 2016. A clear lie proving whatever Brennan said cannot be trusted and the CIA declined to confirm or deny that he went to Ukraine.

A screenshot of an MSNBC news broadcast. The top navigation bar includes "MSNBC", "MSNBC ON PEACOCK", "MSNBC FILMS", "COLUMNISTS", "RACHEL MADDOW", and "MORNING JOE". The main video frame shows John Brennan speaking. A yellow "TAP TO UNMUTE" button is overlaid on the video. Below the video, the headline reads "John Brennan on 'all roads with Trump lead to Putin'". A sub-headline states: "Former CIA Director John Brennan joins Lawrence O'Donnell to react to Nancy Pelosi's charge that 'all roads lead to Putin' with Donald Trump's actions, from his abandonment of the Kurds in Syria to the Ukraine scandal that has led to his impeachment investigation." The date "Oct. 16, 2019" is visible at the bottom right of the screenshot.

The Neocons have had their fingerprints all over this entire affair. They created RussiaGate in hopes of driving Trump from office because he would not agree to wage war in Ukraine against Russia. It was clearly Brennan who was at least part of this conspiracy if not one of the masterminds. They used the unelected interim government in 2014 to start the civil war against the Donbas **BEFORE** there was any elected government. They used the Ukrainian people on both sides as cannon fodder to achieve the Neocon goal. They started the civil war against the Russian in the Donbas to bait Putin to enter Russia so they could begin their proxy war.




## Ukraine's deadliest day: The battle of Ilovaisk, August 2014

Brennan went to Kiev on April 14<sup>th</sup>, 2014. The interim government began its civil war right after that meeting. By August, the Ukrainian army had surrounded the town of Ilovaisk on their **Anti-Terrorist Operation** which was simply to crush all Russians seeking separation from Kyiv. The Ukrainians hated Russians and this was merely the dream of the Ukrainian Nazis to restart their World War II ethnic cleansing. The Ukrainians were killing civilians for that is what they do – this is ethnic cleansing as they did in Odessa. Their battalion had been ordered to “wipe out” the Russian separatists. Yet, August 24<sup>th</sup>, 2014, Ukrainian news was joyous in reporting that Ilovaisk was completely surrounded. Surely every Russian there would die.

The next day, heavy mortar shelling began and the school they were using as a base was raided. The Russian separatists came from all over and the reinforcements completely surrounded the Ukrainian army, where they were cheering that they would wipe out all the Russians, they themselves lost nearly 400 men.

On the fourth week of the invasion by Russia, Putin offered his terms of peace. Chief among them is an acceptance by Ukraine that it should be neutral and should not apply to join NATO. He also demanded the de-Nazification, and the independence of the Donbas be recognized – the Minsk Agreement. Zelensky refused and settlement whatsoever. He preferred than now at least 250,000 soldiers have died and untold civilians. All for land where Ukrainians never lived.

# Conclusion



The Neocons have been dreaming of this war their entire lives. They will say anything and do anything to achieve their goal at the expense of the people on both sides. Hillary Clinton, who started the entire RussiaGate affair with a fake dossier he paid to create, had the audacity to put forth the standard Neocon propaganda on March 8<sup>th</sup>, 2022<sup>20</sup> reported by MSNBC, the Neocon's favorite channel:

*"It's so heartbreaking to me that Putin is acting out his own insecurities, his own resentments and grievances against the people of Ukraine — waging a war against a smaller state that is totally unprovoked," said the former secretary of state. "It really tells us everything we need to know about Putin."*

Our computer has been pointing to 2023 as an absolute major crisis point in global geopolitics. The risk of international war is very real for these Neocons have usurped American Foreign Policy. They have been planning this since Hillary's RussiaGate.

<sup>20</sup> <https://www.msnbc.com/know-your-value/events-experiences/hillary-clinton-vladimir-putin-russia-s-invasion-ukraine-not-going-n1291130>

## Conclusion



This photo was from the 2011 World Economic Conference held in Philadelphia that was filmed as part of the movie, *The Forecaster*. Our models are definitive. I am merely the messenger—not the author. I do not offer my “opinion” just what the computer forecasts. I have been staring at these

forecasts for decades. I must say living through them is entirely different. Never is my wildest imagination would I have ever believed that the Neocons would have succeeded in taking over both political parties and instigating this war from the American side. I would have assumed we would have some sort of Everyone has an opinion the same as everyone has an asshole is the standard comment.

Hillary actually explained the strategy to use Ukraine as the cannon fodder. On March 5<sup>th</sup>, 2022, just days after the war began, Hillary admitted that the game was to use Ukraine to weaken Russia as took place in Afghanistan. What she did not say was the after Ukraine weakens Russia, then NATO will move in for the kill. She put out the standard Neocon rhetoric when where Putin in 23 years has never desired to invade Europe:

*“I think this is the beginning of a new Cold War. I was particularly bothered by the references to Russia as a country dying to attack Western Europe.”<sup>21</sup>*



It has been the Neocons led by John McCain who always pushed NATO up to the border of Russia. If Russia put nukes in Canada, Mexico, Cuba, and Venezuela, I think we would have a problem with that. Yet these Neocons constantly claim Putin's invasion was unprovoked, the same lies they put out there about Japan's attack on Pearl Harbor.

<sup>21</sup> <https://www.nationalheraldindia.com/opinion/clinton-gives-the-game-away-bleed-russians-in-ukraine-like-afghanistan>


## Conclusion

I stood up at that conference in 2011 and warned that it would all begin in 2014, which marked the Ukrainian Revolution and the U.S. installation of the “temporary” unelected government that began the civil war by attacking the Donbas. So, the Ukrainian people did not even vote to start that civil war. The Neocons have brined their way in to control the fate of the world.



There are many who have pointed out that the Neocons began with all Jews. As a result, they will use this for anti-semitism. Because of the hyperinflation and the collapse of banks in Germany, that began the anti-semitism since the bankers were generally Jews. The Neocon are neither just Republicans or Democrats, and they are not exclusively Jews. John McCain and Hillary Clinton are not Jews. Attacking the Jews has often been easy. It was Edward I (1272-1307) who expelled all the Jews from England. Yet, he was borrowing from them with no problem to wage his war against France. When he could not pay, he suddenly discovered they were Jewish – OMG!


These Neocons should understand that they are likely to once again creating a cloud of suspicion around all Jews. They become an easy target. The Jewish community should also speak out against these people or in the end, they may be accused of being part of the conspiracy.



Edward I of England  
(Born 1239; Ruled 1272-1307)



## Conclusion



Running our war models, 2023 was the start of this insane and deliberate confrontation with Russia, China, North Korea, and Iran all simultaneously. I wish these forecasts were wrong, but it is not my personal opinion – it's the computer projections. Even when we look at the Euro directly, we can see that the year 2025 is a Panic Cycle and an important turning point. Referring back to our War Model, we can see that 2026 is also a Panic Cycle and this certainly appears to be where major international war will unfold certainly by 2025. It is doubtful that Europe will remain intact by 2029/2030. This is not going to end well at all for the West.